Short Communication

BIOMEDICAL Journal of Scientific & Technical Research

ISSN: 2574 -1241

DOI: 10.26717/BJSTR.2025.63.009871

Assessing the Impact of Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM) on the Quality of Nursing Care and Patient Management in Diabetes: A Narrative Review

Mohammed Abdullah Raml[1]*, Mohammed Hassan Mohammed Haqawi[2], Alhanoof Abdulrahman Almogbel[3], Nada Mohammed Anfanan[3], Azhar Mohammed Moshrif[3], Aseel Ismaeel AlSubaihi[3], Ohoud Bakheet Alharbi[3], Sahar Hussain Moamina[3], Abeer Ahmad Abdullah Rednah[3], Seraj Omar Alweail[3], Daniah Jamil Nazer[3], Azoof Abdulakreem Ashour[3] and Arwa Hussein Mukhtar[3]

[1]*Medical Laboratory Technologist, King Abdulaziz University Hospital–Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia*

[2]*Medical Laboratory Technician, King Fahd Medical Research Center, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia*

[3]*Medical Laboratory Technologist, King Abdulaziz University Hospital–Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia*

***Corresponding author:** Mohammed Abdullah Raml, Medical Laboratory Technologist, King Abdulaziz University Hospital–Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

ARTICLE INFO

Received: August 31, 2025

Published: September 18, 2025

Citation: Mohammed Abdullah Raml, Mohammed Hassan Mohammed Haqawi, Alhanoof Abdulrahman Almogbel, Nada Mohammed Anfanan, Azhar Mohammed Moshrif, Aseel Ismaeel AlSubaihi, Ohoud Bakheet Alharbi, Sahar Hussain Moamina, Abeer Ahmad Abdullah Rednah, Seraj Omar Alweail, Daniah Jamil Nazer, Azoof Abdulakreem Ashour and Arwa Hussein Mukhtar. Assessing the Impact of Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM) on the Quality of Nursing Care and Patient Management in Diabetes: A Narrative Review. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res 63(2)-2025. BJSTR. MS.ID.009871.

ABSTRACT

Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM) represents a significant advancement in diabetes management, providing a dynamic view of blood glucose levels that contrasts with the static snapshot of traditional fingerstick testing. This narrative review explores how CGM has influenced the quality of nursing care and the overall management of patients with diabetes. The integration of this technology into clinical practice has shifted traditional workflows, demanding a new skill set for nurses and altering patient self-care behaviors. This paper synthesizes current literature to delineate the benefits of CGM, including improved glycemic control, enhanced patient quality of life, and its application in diverse clinical settings. Furthermore, it addresses the challenges inherent in its implementation, such as data interpretation, alarm fatigue, and the need for updated educational frameworks. By critically reviewing existing applications and identifying emerging trends, this paper aims to provide a comprehensive overview of CGM's transformative role in modern diabetes care, highlighting its potential to optimize nursing interventions and improve patient outcomes.

Keywords: Continuous Glucose Monitoring; Nursing Care; Diabetes Management; Quality of Life; Patient Outcomes; Glycemic Control

Introduction

The global prevalence of diabetes mellitus, a complex and chronic condition, continues to pose a significant challenge to healthcare systems worldwide. Effective management of this disease hinges on maintaining stable blood glucose levels, a task historically accomplished through periodic, and often painful, fingerstick testing. This traditional method, however, provides only a single moment's reading, often missing critical fluctuations in glucose levels throughout the day and night (Lin, et al. [1,2]). The advent of Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM) has fundamentally changed this paradigm, offering a more complete and dynamic picture of a patient's glycemic patterns. CGM devices, which provide real-time or intermittently scanned data, have moved from being a niche tool for specialized cases to a widely adopted technology with the potential to revolutionize both patient self-care and professional clinical management (Gavin III, et al. [3]) For nurses, who are at the front lines of diabetes care, the introduction of CGM technology necessitates a profound adjustment to established practices. Nurses are tasked with not only understanding the technology themselves but also educating patients on its use, interpreting vast amounts of data, and adapting their care plans accordingly (Thullen, et al. [4,5]). This shift requires a re-evaluation of what constitutes quality nursing care, moving from reactive glucose management to a more proactive, data-driven approach (Stavropoulou, et al. [6]).

The impact of CGM extends across various patient populations and clinical settings, from inpatient hospital wards and intensive care units to long-term care facilities and telehealth programs (Tian, et al. [7]). This narrative review seeks to systematically explore the current applications and implications of CGM within the context of nursing care and patient management. We will synthesize reported advancements, discuss the associated challenges, and provide a comprehensive overview for academic and professional audiences. The insights gathered from this review are vital for understanding how to best leverage this technology to improve patient outcomes and optimize nursing practices in the modern era of diabetes care.

Research Objectives

This review aims to achieve the following objectives:

1. To identify and categorize the diverse applications of CGM in various aspects of diabetes management.

2. To discuss the key benefits, challenges, and perceptions associated with CGM adoption from both the patient and nursing perspectives.

3. To provide insights into future directions for research and development to fully integrate CGM into transformative diabetes care models.

Literature Review

The integration of CGM into clinical diabetes care represents a transformative paradigm, promising to redefine how glucose levels are monitored and managed (Aggarwal, et al. [2,3]). This technology, which provides a continuous stream of glucose data, is being widely recognized for its ability to improve clinical and economic outcomes (Aggarwal, et al. [1,8]). The primary goal of CGM is to offer a more complete picture of glycemic trends, which in turn allows for more precise and timely therapeutic adjustments (Lin, et al. [1]). Its value is increasingly recognized across diverse patient populations, including older adults, adolescents, and pregnant women (Munshi, et al. [9-11]). A core finding from the literature is the effectiveness of CGM in improving glycemic control. Studies have shown that the use of CGM is associated with a reduction in hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels in patients with type 2 diabetes (Martens, et al. [12-14]). A systematic review and meta-analysis confirmed that CGM use is linked to improved glycemic control in type 2 diabetes, highlighting its significant clinical benefit (Kong [8]). This improvement is often attributed to the continuous feedback loop provided by the device, allowing for proactive rather than reactive management of glucose levels. Beyond the numbers, CGM has a profound and positive impact on the patient experience and their quality of life.

For patients with type 2 diabetes, CGM use can lead to a perceived improvement in quality of life and self-care behaviors, as it empowers them with real-time information and reduces the burden of manual fingerstick testing (Johnston, et al. [15,16]). This sense of empowerment is particularly noticeable in adolescents and young adults with type 2 diabetes, where real-time CGM can improve their quality of life (Chesser, et al. [17]). Similarly, women with gestational diabetes have reported improved treatment satisfaction and quality of life when using CGM (Won, et al. 11]). The continuous data stream can reduce the fear of hypoglycemia, a common source of anxiety for many patients (Clark, et al. [16,8]). The influence of CGM on nursing care is a central theme in recent research. Nurse-led interventions have long been crucial for managing diabetes, and the integration of CGM has altered their role (Dailah [5]). Nurses' perceptions of CGM are generally positive, recognizing its potential to improve patient outcomes and quality of care (Thullen, et al. [4]). However, the technology also introduces new considerations. A scoping review on the use of CGM in intensive care units (ICUs) highlighted that while it is feasible and accurate, its impact on nursing workload is a key area for further investigation (Pañero-Moreno, et al. [18]). The transition from manual blood glucose checks to interpreting a continuous data stream requires new training and skills. Nurses must learn to manage data, address potential alarm fatigue, and educate patients on how to use the device effectively (Thullen, et al. [4,19]). Protocols for a feasibility study of CGM in care homes have been developed, which highlights the need for a nurse-led approach to medication management in these settings (Welford, et al. [20]).

The application of CGM is expanding into diverse healthcare settings. Its use in hospitalized patients, particularly in the ICU, is gaining traction, despite challenges related to accuracy and standardization (Guerrero-Arroyo, et al. [21,22]). A report from the Diabetes Technology Society highlights the growing use of CGM in the hospital setting, though it emphasizes the need for further research on implementation and best practices (Tian, et al. [6]). The accuracy of CGM in hospitalized adults with type 1 diabetes is a crucial factor that needs to be continuously evaluated (Wang, et al. [23]). Beyond the hospital, telehealth programs are leveraging CGM data to manage older adults with insulin-treated diabetes, demonstrating its feasibility and utility in remote care settings (Gomez-Peralta, et al. [24]). Furthermore, the usability and acceptance of CGM among the elderly have been explored, indicating that with proper education and support, this technology is a valuable tool for advancing geriatric diabetes care (Ahn, et al. [10]).

Results

The review reveals a consistent and growing trend in the application of Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM) across various domains within diabetes management (Gavin III, et al. [3,1]). The findings demonstrate that CGM is not merely a theoretical concept but is actively being implemented to address long-standing challenges and introduce novel capabilities in diabetes care. The results of this review are synthesized into key thematic areas, highlighting the transformative impact of CGM on patient outcomes, nursing practice, and clinical workflows. Enhanced Glycemic Control and Patient Outcomes: A significant finding is the profound impact of CGM on glycemic control. The technology provides a real-time, comprehensive view of glucose fluctuations, leading to measurable improvements in key metrics like HbA1c and Time in Range (TIR) (Martens, et al. [12]). Studies consistently show that CGM use is associated with a reduction in HbA1c levels, which is a key indicator of long-term glucose control (Manov, et al. [14,15]). This enhanced control is a direct result of the actionable data CGM provides, enabling more precise medication adjustments and lifestyle modifications. For patients, this translates into a lower risk of diabetes-related complications and a more stable daily life. Improved Quality of Life and Self-Management: The review consistently shows CGM's transformative role in empowering patients and improving their quality of life. By providing a continuous data stream and predictive alerts, CGM reduces the need for frequent, painful fingersticks, which is a major source of burden for patients (Johnston, et al. [15,16]). For adolescents and young adults, this technology can significantly improve their quality of life and reduce the psychological burden of the disease (Chesser, et al. [17]). Patients feel more in control of their condition, leading to greater treatment satisfaction and a more proactive approach to self-management (Won, et al. [11,9]). Impact on Nursing Practice and Workload: The review underscores the critical role of nurses in implementing and managing CGM technology. While the device reduces the need for manual blood glucose checks, it introduces new responsibilities related to data interpretation, patient education, and troubleshooting (Thullen, et al. [4,18]). Nurses perceive CGM as a valuable tool for providing better care, but its successful integration requires dedicated training and a re-evaluation of workflows (Dailah [5]). This is especially true in specialized settings like the ICU, where the accuracy and workload implications for nurses are under close scrutiny (Guerrero-Arroyo, et al. [21]). Nurse-led protocols for CGM use in contexts like care homes are also being developed, highlighting the critical nature of the nurse's role in this new landscape (Welford, et al. [20]).

Application in Diverse Clinical Settings: The review highlights the expanding application of CGM beyond traditional outpatient care. It is being successfully adopted in hospital settings, including general wards and ICUs, although implementation is a work in progress (Tian, et al. [7,22]). The use of CGM in telehealth programs for older adults demonstrates its potential to bridge gaps in access to care and enhance remote monitoring (Gomez-Peralta, et al. [24]). Furthermore, research is exploring its usability and acceptance in new patient groups, such as the elderly, recognizing their unique needs and challenges with technology (Ahn, et al. [10]). The]accuracy of CGM devices in these real-world settings is a key area of ongoing study (Wang, et al. [23]).

Discussion

The findings of this narrative review unequivocally demonstrate that Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM) is fundamentally reshaping the landscape of diabetes care (Aggarwal, et al. [2]). Its observed applications, ranging from significant improvements in glycemic control to enhanced patient quality of life, underscore CGM's potential to significantly improve patient outcomes. The consistent theme across the reviewed literature is that CGM is not merely a tool for data collection but a transformative force capable of addressing complex challenges that have historically limited effective diabetes management (Gavin III, et al [1,3]). For patients, the transition from episodic fingerstick testing to continuous monitoring represents a powerful shift towards empowerment. They gain a deeper understanding of how diet, exercise, and medication affect their glucose levels in real time (Clark, et al. [16]). This real-time feedback loop fosters a sense of control and reduces the emotional burden and fear of hypoglycemia, which are major obstacles to optimal diabetes care (Johnston, et al. [15,17]). The use of CGM has shown to be effective across different age groups, from adolescents to older adults, and even in specialized populations like pregnant women, proving its versatility and widespread applicability (Munshi, et al. [9,11]). For nursing practice, the adoption of CGM presents a fascinating duality. While it liberates nurses from the labor-intensive task of repeated manual blood glucose checks, it introduces new responsibilities related to data interpretation and patient education (Thullen, et al. [4]).

The quality of care a nurse provides is increasingly dependent on their ability to interpret complex glycemic patterns and inter-

vene based on trends rather than a single number (Stavropoulou, et al. [6]). This requires a new skill set and robust training programs to ensure nurses are confident and competent in using the technology and translating its insights into actionable care plans (Dailah [5]). The challenge of integrating CGM into existing electronic medical records and preventing alarm fatigue is also a significant hurdle that needs to be addressed for seamless adoption (Pañero-Moreno et al. [18,19]). The benefits of CGM are also apparent in various clinical settings. Its use in inpatient care, while still facing hurdles related to accuracy and standardization, holds promise for improving glucose management in complex cases (Tian, et al. [7,22]). In the intensive care unit, where tight glucose control is critical, CGM can provide a continuous stream of data that helps guide therapy, though further research is needed to refine its use (Guerrero-Arroyo, et al. [21]). Furthermore, its application in telehealth and long-term care facilities opens doors for better chronic disease management and remote monitoring, particularly for older adults who may struggle with frequent in-person visits (Ahn, et al. [10,20,24]). Despite these compelling advantages, the successful integration of CGM into clinical practice faces substantial hurdles.

The need for clear guidelines, proper training for healthcare professionals, and a robust understanding of the technology's limitations are paramount (Thullen et al. [4]). The future success of CGM in diabetes management hinges on a collaborative approach that addresses technological, educational, and workflow challenges, ensuring that the technology is used to its full potential for the benefit of both patients and the healthcare team [25].

Conclusion

The comprehensive narrative review presented herein underscores the transformative and indispensable role of Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM) in modern diabetes care. The applications are fundamentally reshaping diagnostic and management workflows, enhancing patient self-care, and elevating the quality of care provided by nurses. The consistent finding of improved glycemic control, coupled with the profound positive impact on patient quality of life, makes a compelling case for the widespread adoption of CGM. The technology empowers individuals with diabetes, providing them with the information they need to make informed decisions and live healthier lives. The pervasive impact of CGM extends to optimizing nursing practice, where it promises to shift care from a reactive to a proactive model. However, this transition is not without its challenges. The need for updated educational frameworks, the resolution of issues surrounding data overload and alarm fatigue, and the establishment of clear protocols for use in various clinical settings are crucial for successful implementation. Addressing these multifaceted challenges requires a concerted, multidisciplinary effort involving technology developers, clinicians, and academic institutions. In conclusion, CGM represents a pivotal advancement for diabetes care, promising to usher in an era of unprecedented precision, efficiency, and patient empowerment. While the journey towards full integration is complex, the potential rewards in terms of improved patient outcomes and optimized healthcare delivery are immense.

Continued research, strategic investment in training, and a proactive approach to technology integration are essential to fully harness the transformative power of CGM in diabetes management. The future of diabetes care is undeniably intertwined with the continuous insights provided by CGM, paving the way for a healthier and more efficient healthcare ecosystem.

References

1. Lin R, Brown F, James S, Jones J, Ekinci E, et al. (2021) Continuous glucose monitoring: a review of the evidence in type 1 and 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetic Medicine 38(5): e14528.
2. Aggarwal A, Pathak S, Goyal R (2022) Clinical and economic outcomes of continuous glucose monitoring system (CGMS) in patients with diabetes mellitus: A systematic literature review. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 186: 109825.
3. Gavin III J R, Bailey C J (2023) Continuous glucose monitoring impact and implications of real-world evidence: past, present, and future. Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics 25(S3): S5-S13.
4. Thullen A, Gerber R, Keen A (2024) Glycemic Outcomes and Nurse Perceptions of Continuous Glucose Monitoring for Hospitalized Patients. Journal of Nursing Care Quality 39(4): 310-316.
5. Dailah H G (2024) The influence of nurse led interventions on disease management in patients with diabetes mellitus: A narrative review. Healthcare 12(3): 352.
6. Stavropoulou A, Rovithis M, Kelesi M, Vasilopoulos G, Sigala E, et al. (2022) What quality of care means? Exploring clinical nurses' perceptions on the concept of quality care: a qualitative study. Clinics and practice 12(4): 468-481.
7. Tian T, Aaron R E, Yeung A M, Huang J, Drincic A, et al. (2023) Use of continuous glucose monitors in the hospital: the diabetes technology society hospital meeting report 2023. Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology 17(5): 1392-1418.
8. Kong S Y, Cho M K (2024) Effects of continuous glucose monitoring on glycemic control in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. In Healthcare 12(5): 571.
9. Munshi M N (2023) Continuous glucose monitoring use in older adults for optimal diabetes management. Diabetes technology & therapeutics 25(S3): S-56.
10. Ahn J, Yang Y, Park G (2024) Advancing elderly diabetes care: exploring the usability and acceptance of continuous glucose monitoring (CGM). Geriatric Nursing 59: 15-25.
11. Won S, Kim H J, Park J Y, Oh K J, Choi S H, et al. (2025) Quality of life in women with gestational diabetes mellitus and treatment satisfaction upon intermittently scanned continuous glucose monitoring. Journal of Korean Medical Science 40(15).
12. Martens T, Beck R W, Bailey R, Ruedy K J, Calhoun P, et al. (2021) Effect of continuous glucose monitoring on glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes treated with basal insulin: a randomized clinical trial. Jama 325(22): 2262-2272.
13. Osueke R O (2024) Impact of Continuous Glucose Monitoring on Hemoglobin A1c Levels. Grand Canyon University.
14. Manov A E, Chauhan S, Dhillon G, Dhaliwal A, Antonio S, et al. (2023) The

effectiveness of continuous glucose monitoring devices in managing uncontrolled diabetes mellitus: a retrospective study. Cureus 15(7).

15. Johnston A R, Poll J B, Hays E M, Jones C W (2022) Perceived impact of continuous glucose monitor use on quality of life and self-care for patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Epidemiology and Management 6: 100068.
16. Clark T L, Polonsky WH, Soriano E C (2024) The potential impact of continuous glucose monitoring use on diabetes-related attitudes and behaviors in adults with type 2 diabetes: a qualitative investigation of the patient experience. Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics 26(10): 700-708.
17. Chesser H, Srinivasan S, Puckett C, Gitelman S E, Wong J C, et al. (2024) Real-time continuous glucose monitoring in adolescents and young adults with type 2 diabetes can improve quality of life. Journal of diabetes science and technology 18(4): 911-919.
18. Pañero-Moreno M, Muxella-Roson M, Dubè-Llobet G, Cabrerizo-Jimenez M, Guix-Comellas E M, et al. (2025) The use of continuous glucose monitoring: A scoping review of accuracy, feasibility and nursing workload reduction in intensive care setting. Enfermería Intensiva 36(3): 500534.
19. Wu X, Yang Y, Huang X, Chen L (2025) Effectiveness of whole course fine nursing combined with insulin pump blood glucose management on patients with diabetes mellitus combined with ischemic cerebral infarction. Nutrition & Diabetes 15(1): 24.
20. Welford A E, Ridgeway J, Gillies C, Choudhary P, Hegde V, et al. (2025) Continuous Glucose Monitoring in the Management of Medication in Care Home Residents with Type 2 Diabetes (eDMED): A Protocol for a Feasibility Study. Diabetes Therapy, pp. 1511-1524.
21. Guerrero-Arroyo L, Faulds E, Perez-Guzman M C, Davis G M, Dungan K, et al. (2023) Continuous glucose monitoring in the intensive care unit. Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology 17(3): 667-678.
22. Perez-Guzman M C, Shang T, Zhang J Y, Jornsay D, Klonoff D C, et al. (2021) Continuous glucose monitoring in the hospital. Endocrinology and Metabolism 36(2): 240-255.
23. Wang R, Kyi M, Krishnamoorthi B, Tjahyadi J, Connell A, et al. (2025) Accuracy of continuous glucose monitoring in adults with type 1 diabetes admitted to hospital: a real-world multicenter observational study. Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics 27(5): 376-385.
24. Gomez-Peralta F, Abreu C, Santos E, Da Silva A, San Frutos A, et al. (2025) A telehealth program using continuous glucose monitoring and a connected insulin pen cap in nursing homes for older adults with insulin-Treated diabetes: the Trescasas study. Diabetes Technology & Therapeutics 27(5): 357-365.
25. Nichols A (2025) Continuous Glucose Monitoring in Patients With Diabetes (Doctoral dissertation, Grand Canyon University).

ISSN: 2574-1241

DOI: 10.26717/BJSTR.2025.63.009871

Mohammed Abdullah Raml. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res

Submission Link: https://biomedres.us/submit-manuscript.php