

Acute Abdomen with Uterine Perforation and Bowel Injury Following an Unsafe Abortion: Repair of Uterine Perforation with Partial Ileo-Jejunal Resection and Anastomosis

Oyedeji OE^{1*}, Muritala WO¹, Fijabiyi MO¹, Adekunle A¹ and Oyedeji YO²

¹Department of obstetrics and gynecology, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria

²Department of Nursing, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria

*Corresponding author: Oyedeji OE, Department of obstetrics and gynecology, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Nigeria

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 📅 November 18, 2024

Published: 📅 November 27, 2024

Citation: Oyedeji OE, Muritala WO, Fijabiyi MO, Adekunle A and Oyedeji YO. Acute Abdomen with Uterine Perforation and Bowel Injury Following an Unsafe Abortion: Repair of Uterine Perforation with Partial Ileo-Jejunal Resection and Anastomosis. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res 59(4)-2024. BJSTR.MS.ID.009337.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: According to global estimates from 2010-2014, 45% of all induced abortions are unsafe. One-third of all unsafe abortions are performed by untrained individuals using dangerous and invasive methods with attendant complications. This case is reported due to the unusual presentation of intestinal injury, prolapse of the bowel through the cervix from a perforating wound through the fundus, and extensive bowel resection.

Case Summary: A case of unsafe abortion complicated by uterine perforation, with injury to the small bowel is presented. The patient presented with small bowel protrusion through the cervix via a perforation in the fundus of the uterus. She had exploratory laparotomy with uterine repair, partial jejunum-ileal resection with end-to-end anastomosis.

Outcome: The patient was managed successfully.

Conclusion: Comprehensive abortion care, which includes information provision, abortion management, and post-abortion care, is a safe, simple health-care intervention alongside a non-restrictive abortion law that is needed to prevent an unsafe abortion.

Keywords: Unsafe Abortion; Abortion Mortality; Induced Abortion; Complicated Abortion; Abortion Morbidity; Acute Abdomen; Uterine Perforation

Introduction

Every year, around 73 million induced abortions occur throughout the world. Six out of ten (61%) undesired pregnancies and three out of ten (29%) pregnancies end in an induced abortion [1]. Global estimates from 2010 to 2014 show that 45% of induced abortions are dangerous. One-third of all unsafe abortions were conducted in the least safe conditions, i.e. by untrained individuals utilising harmful and invasive techniques. More than half of all unsafe abortions happened in Asia, with the majority taking place in South and Central Asia. In Latin America and Africa, almost three out of every four abortions were unsafe. In Africa, over half of all abortions took place

under the least safe conditions [2]. In Africa, 99% of abortions are unsafe resulting in one maternal death per 150 cases. The prevalence of unsafe abortion is associated with restricted abortion law, poor quality of health service, and low community awareness [3]. Only when a woman's life is in danger can an induced abortion be performed legally in Nigeria. However, abortion is widespread. According to a recent Performance Monitoring for Action PMA research, 4.6% of women who are of reproductive age have an abortion annually, which equates to around 2 million abortions [4,5]. A majority of these abortions are considered unsafe. Such unsafe procedures contribute to 10% of maternal deaths, equivalent to approximately 6,000 women dying each

year [6]. Unsafe abortion is defined as the termination of an unwanted pregnancy either by persons lacking the necessary skills in an environment lacking minimal medical standards, or both [7].

Abortion-related complications and death are usually preventable, but in an environment where restrictive abortion laws exist, overt complications such as uterine perforation with haemorrhage, bowel injury with gangrene, and tetanus infection are common [8]. An unusual presentation of ileojejunum protrusion through the cervix via a perforating wound on the uterine fundus following unsafe abortion and the resultant extensive bowel resection is to be reported.

Case Summary

A 24-year-old undergraduate P0+3 presented at gynaecological emergency unit of the LAUTECH teaching hospital, Ogbomosho following a period of amenorrhea of 17 weeks with presumptive pregnancy symptoms which was confirmed by a positive urine pregnancy test and by a pelvic scan which was said to have revealed a singleton intra-uterine gestation at estimated gestational age. Bleeding per vaginam started about a month before presentation following 13 weeks history of amenorrhea after ingestion of some medications procured at a chemist shop to terminate the pregnancy. Bleeding was bright red, profuse, with passage of clot and fleshy material. No passage of ves-

cles per vaginam. Following the bleeding, she presented to the nearby private hospital where she was offered dilatation and curettage on two occasions. However, bleeding persisted for about a month, with associated purulent vaginal discharge. Abdominal pain started 5 hours following the last dilatation and curettage procedure, sudden in onset, sharp and later became colicky in nature, mild initially and localized to the suprapubic region, but later became generalized and worsened in severity, with no known relieving factor. There was progressive abdominal swelling, had about 6 episodes of vomiting with constipation. Following all these, she was taken to another private hospital where she was referred to our facility. On examination she was acutely ill looking, febrile (38.8 °C), pale, mildly dehydrated. Pulse rate and blood pressure were normal. The abdomen was distended with marked fullness in the lower abdomen.

It did not move with respiration. There was generalized tenderness, with guarding and rebound tenderness, which prevented palpation of intra-abdominal organs. Bowel sounds were absent. The vulva and vagina were smeared with dark foul-smelling discharge. The cervix appeared hyperemic with intestine-like viscus protruding through the cervical os into the vaginal. (Figure 1) The pouch of Douglas was full. Finding on rectal examination were not remarkable.



Figure 1: Protrusion of the Small Intestine Per Vaginam.

Management

She was admitted into the emergency ward on nil per oris. Nasogastric tube was passed. She was rehydrated. An abdominopelvic scan done revealed a bulky uterus with coarse myometrial echoes and irregular ill-defined endometrial plate. There was echogenic structure extending through the posterior uterine wall into the uterine cavity through the cervical os. Cervix was about 3.5cm dilated. Hyperechoic fluid was present in the pouch of Douglas. A conclusion of

pelvic collection and uterine perforation with herniation of intestinal loop. Chest x-ray (PA) revealed a normal finding and Abdominal x-ray (erect and supine) revealed a ground-glass appearance in the upper half of the abdomen. This was associated with multiple air fluid levels, relative gas lessness within the rectum. Electrolyte, urea and creatinine values were within normal limits. Packed cell volume was 33%. High vaginal swab yielded profuse growth of coliforms sensitive to Unasyn, Cefuroxime, Ceftriaxone and Ciprofloxacin. Intravenous ceftriaxone/sulbactam 1.5g 12 hourly and metronidazole 500mg every

8 hours were commenced, intramuscular 0.5ml of tetanus toxoid and 1500 IU of anti-tetanus sera were also administered subcutaneously. Two units of blood were crossmatched for her A written informed consent was obtained and the patient had an exploratory laparotomy, resection of gangrenous bowel, jejunum-ileal anastomosis, and repair of uterine perforation with peritoneal lavage under general anaesthesia after stabilization on 11th November 2023 (9:05am).

Intra-operative findings were uterus was bulky and covered with thick fibrinous exudates posteriorly, a complete posterior uterine wall perforation about 4cm by 5cm with bowel loop herniating through it, about 30cm of small bowel (gangrenous) trapped in the perforated uterus. Append [9] with faecolith adhered to the old area of posterior uterine wall perforation. Haemoperitoneum about 200mls. Other abdominal organs were normal.

Procedure

The uterus was delivered into the wound following peritoneal entry, the trapped bowel was released, peritoneal contamination was prevented and the gut packed out of the operating field and inspected carefully. The portion of the bowel were identified and a non-crushing intestinal clamp applied on the healthy part, with the gangrenous portion resected. End-to-end anastomosis was done in two layers with Vicryl 2/0. The pelvic hemoperitoneum was suctioned. The uterine perforation was identified and rough edges were freshened, repaired in 2 layers with interrupted Vicryl size 2 sutures. The abdominal cavity was lavaged copiously with warm normal saline and was suctioned. A drain was placed in the Douglas's pouch. The abdominal wound was closed back in layers with interrupted nylon to the rectus sheath and skin. Her post-operative period was uneventful and was discharged on post-operative day 8 with an appointment at the gynaecology outpatient clinic in 1 week. At presentation at the clinic the abdominal wound had healed well, no abdominal or pelvic collection was demonstrable. She was thereafter followed up in the clinic and referred to the family planning clinic for contraception.

Discussion

She had an unsafe abortion, which led to uterine perforation, bowel injury and pelvic hematoma collection. Unsafe abortion is the procedure of termination of an unwanted pregnancy by an individual lacking the minimum skill or in a place lacking the minimum medical requirement or both [9]. It remains a major cause of maternal morbidity and or mortality in developing countries like ours [9]. This problem not only poses a high cost in the treatment of the acute symptoms but is also responsible for long-term complications such as pelvic inflammatory disease, damage to the reproductive organs, and or secondary infertility [10,11]. According to estimates by the World Health Organization (WHO), approximately 1.25 million induced abortions occur annually in Nigeria, and a significant proportion of these are unsafe [11]. The case presented was among the estimated 5 million women who are hospitalized each year on account of abortion-related complications like sepsis and haemorrhage [9,11]. Unsafe abortion

and its attendant complications are common in adolescents with no knowledge of contraception [12]. Other studies have however shown that grand multipara belonging to the low socioeconomic group and do not use contraception, as the most affected group [13]. The history of abortion in a place lacking the minimum requirement and by a person lacking minimum skill, with subsequent development of fever, bowel perforation, passage of bowel loop per vaginam and the aspiration of frank blood/pus on culdocentesis all pointed to hemoperitoneum/pelvic abscess complicating the induced abortion as seen in the case presented [14].

Other clinical features of constipation, lower abdominal pain with generalized tenderness all buttressed the diagnosis [14,15]. Chest and abdominal radiographs were used to rule out attendant bowel perforation which is also a common complication [16]. The goal of treatment is to halt progression of the disease process, so as to reduce morbidity and prevent mortality [9]. The case was adequately resuscitated and had broad spectrum antibiotics. Surgical intervention in the form of exploratory laparotomy was instituted due to presence of haemoperitoneum, passage of bowel loop per vaginam and perforation of the uterus [9]. It also afforded opportunity to explore the abdomen to localize any form of other injury not obvious from the investigations. Ultrasound guided abscess drainage, posterior colpotomy can be offered to women who are not physically fit for extensive surgery in patient with pelvic abscess with no bowel injury [9,11].

Conclusion

Prevention of unwanted pregnancy by improving on the existing contraceptive prevalence and awareness and providing safe abortion practices with liberalization of abortion law in this sub-region will go a long way in reducing morbidity and mortality associated with unsafe abortion.

Conflict of Interest

No financial conflict of interest. I have no affiliation to any drug or pharmaceutical company.

References

1. Bearak J, Popinchalk A, Ganatra B, Moller AB, Tunçalp Ö, et al. (2000) Unintended pregnancy and abortion by income, region, and the legal status of abortion: Estimates from a comprehensive model for 1990–2019. *Lancet Glob Health* 8(9): e1152-e1161.
2. Ganatra B, Gerdtts C, Rossier C, Johnson BR, Tunçalp Ö, et al. (2000) Global, regional, and subregional classification of abortions by safety, 2010–14: Estimates from a Bayesian hierarchical model. *The Lancet* 390(10110): 2372-2381.
3. Gebremedhin M, Semahegn A, Usmael T, Gezahegn Tesfaye (2018) Unsafe abortion and associated factors among reproductive aged women in Sub-Saharan Africa: A protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Syst Rev* 7: 130.
4. Bell SO, Elizabeth Omoluabi, Funmilola OlaOlorun, Mridula Shankar, Caroline Moreau, et al. (2020) Inequities in the incidence and safety of abortion in Nigeria. *BMJ Global Health* 5(1): e001814

5. Say L, Doris Chou, Alison Gemmill, Özge Tunçalp, Ann Beth Moller, et al. (2014) Global causes of maternal death: A WHO systematic analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2(6): e323-333.
6. (2019) National Population Commission (NPC) [Nigeria] and ICF. Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey 2018. Abuja, Nigeria and Rockville, Maryland, USA, NPC and ICP.
7. (1992) World Health Organization. Report on a Technical Working Group. Geneva: World Health Organization.
8. Qureshi Z, Mehrtash H, Kouanda S, Sally Griffin, Veronique Filippi, et al. (2021) Understanding abortion-related complications in health facilities: Results from WHO multi country survey on abortion (MCS-A) across 11 sub-Saharan African countries. *BMJ Global Health* 6: e003702.
9. Stubblefield P, Averbach S, Grimes DA (2012) Septic Abortion: Prevention and Management. *Glob Libr Womens Med*.
10. May W, Gülmezoglu AM, Ba Thike K (2023) Antibiotics for incomplete abortion (Review) 17(4): CD001779.
11. (2011) Unsafe abortion: global and regional estimates of the incidence of unsafe abortion and associated mortality in 2011. 6th Geneva
12. Harlow BL, Cohen LS (2011) Induced Abortion and Mental Health systematic review consultation. *J Youth Adolesc* 35: 903-911.
13. Fawad A, Naz H, Khan K, Aziz un-Nisa (2008) Septic induced abortions. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad* 20: 145-148.
14. Duru PH, Ibrahim SA, Pam IC (2009) Pelvic abscess at Jos Teaching Hospital Jos, North Central Nigeria. *Highland Medical Research Journal* 8: 25-27.
15. Aimakhu CO, Olayemi O, Odukogbe AA (2002) Predisposing factors and Socioclinico-Demographic characteristics of pelvic abscess in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Genito urinary Medicine* 2: 33-36.
16. Alam IP, Pervin Z, Haque MA (2012) Intestinal Perforation as a Complication of Induced Abortion - A Case Report and Literature Review. *Faridpur Med Coll J* 7: 46-49.

ISSN: 2574-1241

DOI: 10.26717/BJSTR.2024.59.009337

Oyedemi OE. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

Submission Link: <https://biomedres.us/submit-manuscript.php>



Assets of Publishing with us

- Global archiving of articles
- Immediate, unrestricted online access
- Rigorous Peer Review Process
- Authors Retain Copyrights
- Unique DOI for all articles

<https://biomedres.us/>