

# Pathogenic Microorganisms in Meat Products

Fahim Aziz Eldin Shaltout<sup>1\*</sup>, Abdelazez Ahmed Helmy Barr<sup>2</sup> and Mohamed Elsayed Abdelaziz<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Food Control Department, Faculty of veterinary Med., Benha University, Egypt

<sup>2</sup>Chief researcher of food hygiene animal health research institute, Tanta Branch, Egypt

<sup>3</sup>Military veterinary hospital, madinet naser, Egypt

\*Corresponding author: Fahim Aziz Eldin Shaltout, Food Control Department, Faculty of veterinary Med, Benha University, Egypt



## ARTICLE INFO

**Received:** February 02, 2022

**Published:** February 16, 2022

**Citation:** Fahim Aziz Eldin Shaltout, Abdelazez Ahmed Helmy Barr, Mohamed Elsayed Abdelaziz. Pathogenic Microorganisms in Meat Products. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res 42(1)-2022. BJSTR. MS.ID.006682.

**Keywords:** Ready -To- Eat; Launchon; Kofta; Frankfurter; *Salmonella*; *E.Coli*; Coliform

## ABSTRACT

The meat products such as sausage, beef burger and luncheon are gaining popularity because ready to eat meat products are highly demanded due to their high biological value, reasonable price, agreeable taste and easily serving. So, this study was done to evaluate the pathogenic microorganisms in the examined meat products (lanchoun, beef burger, kofta and Frankfurter) which were collected from different markets in Elgharbia governorate. The obtained results in the present study indicated that the mean value Total aerobic bacterial count were  $3.2 \times 10^5 \pm 2.5 \times 10^5$ ,  $4.2 \times 10^5 \pm 1.3 \times 10^5$ ,  $2.5 \times 10^4 \pm 2.2 \times 10^3$  and  $4.9 \times 10^4 \pm 1.2 \times 10^3$  respectively. Also, the mean value in Total psychrotrophic count  $5.9 \times 10^2 \pm 2.7 \times 10^{ab}$  and  $2.4 \times 10^3 \pm 1.9 \times 10^{2a}$  respectively. Total enterobacteriaceae count mean value were as follow  $1.3 \times 10^3 \pm 1.03 \times 10^{2a}$ ,  $1.0 \times 10^3 \pm 2.7 \times 10^{2a}$ ,  $5.5 \times 10^2 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{2a}$  and  $3.4 \times 10^2 \pm 1.1 \times 10^a$  respectively. Total coliform count mean values were as follow  $5.9 \times 10^2 \pm 2.7 \times 10^{ab}$ ,  $2.4 \times 10^3 \pm 1.9 \times 10^{2a}$ ,  $3 \times 10^2 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{ab}$  and  $2 \times 10^2 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{ab}$  respectively. Total *staphylococcus* count mean value were as follow  $6.3 \times 10^2 \pm 1.6 \times 10^3$ ,  $8.7 \times 10^2 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{2a}$ ,  $5.3 \times 10^2 \pm 1.1 \times 10^{2a}$  and  $9.7 \times 10^2 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{2a}$  respectively. The Incidence of *coagulase* positive *S.aureus* isolated from different examined meat product samples were (40%) from launchon, (54%) from kofta, (25%) from burger and (50%) from frankfurter. The results showed Incidence of *E. coli* isolates isolated from lanchoun, kofta, beef burger and frankfurter were 30%, 40%, 18% and 12% respectively. In our study, *Salmonella* serovars were failed to be detected in all examined samples of meat products.

## Introduction

Ready to eat meat products are highly demanded due to their high biological value, reasonable price, agreeable taste and easily serving. Meat products are considered as excellent sources of high quality protein, minerals and vitamins [1,2]. In Egypt, the meat products such as sausage, beef burger and luncheon are gaining popularity because they represent quick easily prepared meat meals and solve the problem of fresh and expensive meat shortage, which is not within the income reach of many families with limited income [3]. Food borne diseases caused by *E. coli*, *Salmonella* species and *Staph. aureus* that transmitted mainly through

consumption of contaminated food and the presence of them in meat and raw meat products has relevant public health implications [4]. Microbiological aspects are a useful way to determine the safety and quality of meat product and they may be contaminated during processing from the hands, workers clothes, knives, the hide, the gut or from the environment and transportation resulting in an inferior or even unfit quality for human consumption.

The most important bacterial pathogens in beef and meat products that are responsible for food borne infection includes *E.coli*, *salmonella* and *coagulase* positive *s.aureus* [5,6]. The bacterial

contamination and hygienic measures during meat production and bad storage conditions for frozen meat products can be measured using the aerobic plate count, total psychrotroph counts, Total Enterobacteriaceae, total Coliforms and *Escherichia coli* biotype 1, which is the most important indicator for faecal contamination [6,7] As the contamination of meat products such as lanchoun, beef burger, kofta and Frankforter with different food-borne pathogens constitutes dangerous problems for consumers. So, this study was done to evaluate the pathogenic microorganisms in the examined meat products (lanchoun, beef burger, kofta and Frankforter) which were collected from different markets in Elgharbia governorate.

## Material and Methods

### Collection of samples

A total of 100 random samples of meat products (100 gm of each sample), were collected from different localities (Tanta city, centers and villages) at Elgharbia governorate. Each sample was kept in a separate sterile plastic bag and put in an ice box then transferred to the laboratory under possible aseptic conditions without undue delay and examined bacteriologically to evaluate the bacterial quality and the hygienic health hazard of them with some food borne pathogens.

### Preparation of the Sample

Twenty five grams of frozen meat samples (kofta, farnkfort beef, lanchoun and beef burger) under examinations were taken under aseptic condition to sterile stomachers bag then add 225 ml sterile 0.1% peptone water, the contents were homogenized at stomacher (MA 106402, FRANCE, 450 to 640 strokes per minute) for 2 minutes, the mixture was allowed to stand for 5 minutes at room temperature. the contents were transferred into sterile flask and thoroughly mixed by shaking and 1ml was transferred into separate tube each containing 9 ml sterile 0.1% peptone water, from which tenth - fold serial dilutions were prepared.

### Bacteriological Examination

**Determination of Total Aerobic Plate Count [8]:** A 0.1 ml from each of chosen prepared dilution was inoculated separately onto duplicate sterile plates of plate count agar. Spread the inoculum using sterile bent glass, the plates were incubated 35°C for 48 hours. Plates containing 25-250 colonies were counter and aerobic plate count (APC) per gram of the sample was calculated and recorded.

**Determination of Total Psychrotrophic Count [9]:** Method applied according to (APHA, 2001) [9], the inoculated plates are incubated at 4°C for 10 days. Accordingly, the total psychrotrophic bacterial count per gram was calculated on plates containing from 25 to 250 colonies.

**Determination of Total Enterobacteriaceae Count [8]:** The technique recommended by ICMSF, 1996 using the surface plating method using violet red bile glucose agar medium (VRBG). The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. All purple colonies were then counted and the total number of colonies was determined. Hence, the enterobacteriaceae count /g was calculated and recorded.

**Determination of Total Coliform Count [8]:** The same technique of the previous surface plating method was applied using violet red bile agar medium. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. All pink colonies measuring 0.5mm or more in diameter on uncrowded plates were then counted and the average number of colonies were determined. Multiply the number of colonies by the dilution to obtain the number of coliform organisms per gram of sample.

**Isolation of *E. coli* and Serological Identification According to Edwards and Ewing (1972):** One ml of each previously prepared serial dilution was inoculated into tubes containing 8 ml of EC broth and incubated at 45.5°C for 48 hrs, after that a loopful from each positive tubes of EC broth (turbidity due to acid production and formation of gas in Durham's tube) was streaked on Eosin Methylene blue agar plates, then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Typical colonies of *E. coli* appeared greenish metallic with dark purple center. Suspected colonies were purified and inoculated into slope nutrient agar tubes for further identification.

**Isolation of *salmonella* Species According to Quinn et al., 2002.**

**Determination of the Total *Staphylococcus Aureus* Count:** Accurately, 0.1ml from each of previously prepared serial dilutions was spread on duplicated plates of mannitol salt agar using a sterile bent glass spreader. The inoculated and control plates were incubated at 36°C for 48 hours. The developed colonies were enumerated and the total staphylococci count/g calculated.

**Biochemical Identification of Positive *Staphylococcus Aureus* Isolates (Figures 1-5):**




Figure 1: Mean values of total aerobic plate count in the examined meat.




Figure 2: Mean values of staphylococcus aureus count of examined meat products(25 sample for each).




Figure 3: Mean value of enterobactericea count in examined meat products.




Figure 4: Mean of total psychrotrophic count (cfu/g) of examined meat product samples (n=25).




Figure 5: Mean value of total coliform count (cfu/g) of examined meat product samples (n=25).

## Discussion

Contamination by pathogenic microorganisms is one of the most important challenges faced by producers of processed meat products. The presence of food borne pathogens in meat and meat products can result in a range of human health problems as well as economic losses to producers due to recalls from market places. Ready-to-eat (RTE) meats are especially a concern since these may be consumed without further cooking and are known to be good growth substrates for pathogenic microorganisms [7]. The most important bacterial pathogens in beef and meat products that are responsible for food borne infection includes *E.coli* , *salmonella* and *coagulase* positive *s.aureus* [5,6]. So our study was carried out on ready to eat meat such as lanchoun, kofta, beefburgers and

frankfurter at Elgarbia governorate to evaluate the bacterial count and the hygienic health hazard of them with some food pathogens.

### Total Aerobic Bacterial Count

The standard plate count (SPC), also referred to as the aerobic plate count or the total viable count, is one of the most common tests applied to indicate the microbiological quality of food. The significance of SPCs, however, varies markedly according to the type of food product and the processing it has received. The data in Table 1 revealed that the minimum and maximum aerobic plate counts in the examined meat products(lanchoun, beef burger , kofta and frankfurter) collected from different localities were ranged as follow  $2 \times 10^2$  to  $5.8 \times 10^6$ ,  $7 \times 10^3$  to  $3.6 \times 10^6$ ,  $3 \times 10^2$  to  $8.8 \times 10^4$  and  $2 \times 10^2$  to  $7.4 \times 10^4$  with mean value  $3.2 \times 10^5 \pm 2.5 \times 10^5$  ,  $4.2 \times 10^5 \pm 1.3 \times 10^5$  ,

2.5X10<sup>4</sup>± 2.2X10<sup>3</sup> and 4.9 X10<sup>4</sup>±1.2X10<sup>3</sup> respectively. All examined samples were contaminated 100%. On the same side, Shaltout, et al. [10] said that the APC/g of the examined samples of ready to

eat meat meals ranged from 2.1×10<sup>3</sup> to 1.7×10<sup>4</sup> with an average of 6.03×10<sup>3</sup>± 1.45×10<sup>3</sup>cfu/g for meat, 4.6×10<sup>3</sup>to2.9×10<sup>4</sup>with an average 9.91×10<sup>3</sup>± 2.18×10<sup>3</sup>/(cfu/g) for meat kofta (Table 2).

**Table 1:** Statistical analytical results of Aerobic Plate Count (cfu/g) of examined meat product samples (n=25).

Samples	No of Positive samples		Min	Max	Mean± SE
	N	%			
Luncheon	25	100	2x10 <sup>2</sup>	5.8X10 <sup>6</sup>	3.2X10 <sup>5</sup> ±2.5X10 <sup>5ab</sup>
Kofta	25	100	7X10 <sup>3</sup>	3.6X10 <sup>6</sup>	4.2X10 <sup>5</sup> ±1.3X10 <sup>5ab</sup>
Burger	25	100	3X10 <sup>2</sup>	8.8X10 <sup>4</sup>	2.5X10 <sup>4</sup> ±2.2X10 <sup>3b</sup>
Frankfurter	25	100	2X10 <sup>2</sup>	7.4X10 <sup>4</sup>	4.9 X10 <sup>4</sup> ±1.2X10 <sup>3a</sup>

Note: a-b: mean with superscripts differ significantly at p<0.05, Egyptian Standard(E.S.) (1114/2005): stated that the aerobic bacterial count should not be higher than 10<sup>4</sup>

**Table 2:** Frequency distribution of total aerobic bacterial counts in the examined meat product samples (n=25).

Samples	luncheon		Kofta		Burger		Frankfurter	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
10 <sup>2</sup> - <10 <sup>3</sup>	2	8	0	0	2	8	0	0
10 <sup>3</sup> - <10 <sup>4</sup>	5	20	1	4	11	44	22	88
10 <sup>4</sup> - <10 <sup>5</sup>	14	56	11	44	12	48	3	12
10 <sup>5</sup> - 10 <sup>6</sup>	4	16	11	44	0	0	0	0

Zalouk- enas(2013)examined 25 samples of ready to eat burger that collected from different localities at Giza governorate. The results revealed that , the mean value of APC were 8x 10<sup>4</sup> CFU/g that was higher than the mean value for burger in our study. Higher results were reported by [11]. Higher results may be attributed to the difference in number of the examined samples, season of work and may be there was a difference in the incubation period, as well as the high bacterial count found in the examined locally manufactured beef luncheon samples may be attributed to the contamination of the flesh meal itself that was used in the manufacture of the product, also equipment, knives and grinders were considered as a source of infection and contamination of the product during processing, Water used for washing, air, cleavers, vessels and personnel were considered another sources for

contamination of the product.

**Total Staphylococcus Count**

The obtained results in Table 3 cleared that the minimum and the maximum staphylococcal count in the examined samples (lanchoun, kofta , beef burger and frankfurter ) 2X10<sup>2</sup> to 7X10<sup>2</sup>, 3X10<sup>2</sup> to 5X10<sup>3</sup> , 2X10<sup>2</sup> to9X10<sup>2</sup> and 5X10<sup>2</sup> to 5X10<sup>3</sup> with a mean value as follow 6.3X10<sup>2</sup>±1.6 X10<sup>2</sup>, 8.7X10<sup>2</sup>±1.5X10<sup>2a</sup>, 5.3X10<sup>2</sup>±1.1X10<sup>2a</sup> and 9.7X10<sup>2</sup> ±0.5X10<sup>2a</sup> respectively. On the same side Also Shaltout, et al. [12] isolated *Staph. Aureus* in kofta with mean value 8.13×10<sup>2</sup> and mean value of 7.54×10<sup>2</sup> in burger. On the other hand, *Staphylococcus* had not been detected in beef burger or minced meat as reported by Tolba(1994), Abdel-Aziz et al. (1996),Duffy et al. (1999), Chung et al.(2003).

**Table 3:** Statistical analytical results of Staphylococcus aureus count (cfu/g) of examined meat product samples (n=25).

Samples	No of Positive samples		Min	Max	Mean± SE
	N	%			
luncheon	8	32	2X10 <sup>2</sup>	7X10 <sup>2</sup>	6.3X10 <sup>2</sup> ±1.6 X10 <sup>a</sup>
kofta	10	40	3X10 <sup>2</sup>	5X10 <sup>3</sup>	8.7X10 <sup>2</sup> ±1.5X10 <sup>2a</sup>
Burger	5	20	2X10 <sup>2</sup>	9X10 <sup>2</sup>	5.3X10 <sup>2</sup> ±1.1X10 <sup>2a</sup>
francforter	6	24	5X10 <sup>2</sup>	5X10 <sup>3</sup>	9.7X10 <sup>2</sup> ±0.5X10 <sup>2a</sup>

### Isolation of Coagulase Positive *S.Aureus*

**Table 4:** Incidence of coagulase positive *Staph. Aureus* isolated from. Different examined meat product samples.

Samples	Total <i>Staph. Aureus</i> samples	Coagulase positive <i>Staph. aureus</i> samples	%
Luncheon	100	20	40
Kofta	55	30	54
Burger	80	20	25
Frankfurter	60	30	50

Coagulase positive *S.aureus* is still major cause of food poisoning. The Table 4 revealed the Incidence of coagulase positive *Staph. Aureus* isolated from different examined meat product samples were as follow 20(40%) from launchon, 30(54%) from kofta, 20(25%) from burger and 30(50%) from frankfurter. This results agreed with that results obtained by Eleiewa (2003); Zaki-eman (2003); El daly et al (2014); Djoulde et al (2015) and nadim-samaa(2016). These results disagreed with Benzerra et al (2010) and mousa, et al. [13] who isolated *S aureus* in higher incidence in

beef burger also disagreed with Wehab and Hegazy (2007) who failed to isolate *S.aureus* from frozen beef burger samples. The presence of *S.aureus* in meat and meat products indicates poor hygiene of meat handlers as well as lack of sterilization of utensils and they grow without pronounced change in odour or taste in the products.

### Total Psychrotrophic Count

Psychrotrophic bacteria are the main cause of spoilage of meat products which are kept under refrigeration temperature due to their ability to grow at low temperature. Total Psychrotrophic bacterial count can provide useful information about the keeping quality of some meat products. The results in Table 5 revealed that the minimum and the maximum of total psychrotrophic count in the examined meat samples (lanchoun, kofta , beef burger and frankfurter ) were as follow  $2 \times 10^2$  to  $7 \times 10^2$ ,  $3 \times 10^2$  to  $6 \times 10^3$ ,  $> 10^2$  to  $> 10^2$  and  $> 10^2$  to  $> 10^2$  with mean value as follow  $5.9 \times 10^2 \pm 2.7 \times 10^{ab}$  and  $2.4 \times 10^3 \pm 1.9 \times 10^{2a}$  respectively. As all positive samples were lower than  $10^5$ , so all samples were accepted following ES(2005). these results were agree with Karaboz and dincer (2002) .

**Table 5:** Statistical analytical results of total psychrotrophic count (cfu/g) of examined meat product samples (n=25).

Samples	No of Positive samples		Min	Max	Mean $\pm$ SE
	N	%			
Luncheon	25	100	$2 \times 10^2$	$7 \times 10^2$	$5.9 \times 10^2 \pm 2.7 \times 10^{ab}$
Kofta	11	44	$3 \times 10^2$	$6 \times 10^3$	$2.4 \times 10^3 \pm 1.9 \times 10^{2a}$
Burger	25	100	$> 10^2$	$> 10^2$	-
Frankfurter	7	28	$> 10^2$	$> 10^2$	-

### Total Enterobacteriaceae Count

**Table 6:** Statistical analytical results of Enterobacteriaceae count (cfu/g) of examined meat product samples (n=25).

Samples	No of Positive samples		Min	Max	Mean $\pm$ SE
	N	%			
Luncheon	25	100	$1 \times 10^2$	$3 \times 10^3$	$1.3 \times 10^3 \pm 1.03 \times 10^{2a}$
Kofta	25	100	$5 \times 10^2$	$1.5 \times 10^3$	$1.0 \times 10^3 \pm 2.7 \times 10^{2a}$
Burger	25	100	$2 \times 10^2$	$3.7 \times 10^3$	$5.5 \times 10^2 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{2a}$
Frankfurter	25	100	$1.2 \times 10^2$	$6 \times 10^2$	$3.4 \times 10^2 \pm 1.1 \times 10^a$

Note: The Egyptian Standard(E.S.) (1114/2005) stated that Enterobacteriaceae count and coliforms count should not be higher than 10

Enterobacteriaceae is a group of organisms are used in food testing as hygiene indicator organisms and can give advance warning of failures in hygiene procedures in food manufacturing site. Presence of Enterobacteriaceae indicates fecal contamination and microbial proliferation, which includes all the multiplication of wide range of pathogenic and toxigenic organisms and constituting a public health hazard. The public health hazard of isolated Enterobacteriaceae constituted in *Escherichia*

*coli*(verocytotoxigenic) including serotype O157: H7 are one such group causing severe chronic and potentially fatal illness such as hemorrhagic colitis, hemolytic uremic syndrome, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura and in severe cases death occur. The results in Table 6 revealed that the minimum and the maximum enterobacteriaceae count in the examined samples (lanchoun, kofta , beef burger and frankfurter ) were as follow  $1 \times 10^2$  to  $3 \times 10^3$ ,  $5 \times 10^2$  to  $1.5 \times 10^3$ ,  $2 \times 10^2$  to  $3.7 \times 10^3$  and  $1.2 \times 10^2$  to  $6 \times 10^2$  with mean values

as follow  $1.3 \times 10^3 \pm 1.03 \times 10^{2a}$ ,  $1.0 \times 10^3 \pm 2.7 \times 10^{2a}$ ,  $5.5 \times 10^2 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{2a}$  and  $3.4 \times 10^2 \pm 1.1 \times 10^a$  respectively. Limits suggested for Enterobacteriaceae count in various foods are lower than 104 microbes /g. (center of food safety 2014). The current results were relatively agreeing to that obtained by El-Daly et al. (1987), Pivarov et al. (1988) & Elwi (1994) who found that the mean values of Enterobacteriaceae in kofta were  $45 \times 10^2/g$ , while higher findings were obtained by Hassan (1991), Daif (1996) & Hussein (1996) who found that the mean Enterobacteriaceae for kofta samples were  $1.9 \times 10^5/g$ .

**Total Coliform Count**

Members of coliforms groups are referred as general indicator microorganisms to measure the potential presence of enteric pathogens in foods, besides the measuring of fecal contamination of food products and the sanitary condition in the foods processing environment. The presence of these organisms in RTE food

(sandwiches) depicts a deplorable state of poor hygiene and sanitary practices employed in the processing and packaging of this food product. data in the Table 7 showed the minimum and maximum of total coliform count in the samples examined (lanchoun, kofta , beef burger and frankfurter) as follow  $2 \times 10^2$  to  $7 \times 10^3$ ,  $3 \times 10^1$  to  $6 \times 10^3$ ,  $5 \times 10^2$  to  $9 \times 10^2$  and  $1 \times 10^2$  to  $3 \times 10^2$  with mean value as follow  $5.9 \times 10^2 \pm 2.7 \times 10^{ab}$ ,  $2.4 \times 10^3 \pm 1.9 \times 10^{2a}$ ,  $3 \times 10^2 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{ab}$  and  $2 \times 10^2 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{ab}$  respectively . The current results agree with those recorded by El-Rayes (2008)who recorded that the mean value of total coliform count in kofta was  $2.83 \times 10^3 \pm 0.74 \times 10^3 /g$  . While, lower results were recorded by EL-Daly et al. (1987) who found that the mean values of coliform counts were  $1 \times 10^2 /g$ . In disagree with our results Stagnitta et al.(2006) examined 100 hamburgers samples to detect the microbiological and hygienic quality of meatfoods in San Luis city. They reported that the counts of coliforms in samples ranged from 101 and 103CFU/ g.

**Table 7:** Statistical analytical results of total coliform count (cfu/g) of examined meat product samples (n=25).

Samples	No of Positive samples		Min	Max	Mean± SE
	N	%			
Luncheon	22	88	$2 \times 10^2$	$7 \times 10^3$	$5.9 \times 10^2 \pm 2.7 \times 10^{ab}$
Kofta	25	100	$3 \times 10^1$	$6 \times 10^3$	$2.4 \times 10^3 \pm 1.9 \times 10^{2a}$
Burger	25	100	$5 \times 10^2$	$9 \times 10^2$	$3 \times 10^2 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{ab}$
Francfurter	25	100	$1 \times 10^2$	$3 \times 10^2$	$2 \times 10^2 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{ab}$

**Isolation of E.coli**

The isolation of *E. coli* from meat samples indicates fecal contamination and implies that other pathogens of fecal origin may be present so *E.coli* is considered as an indicator of fecal contamination beside , it may iduce sever diarrhea in infants and young children as well as food poisoning and gastroenteritis among adults (syngé et al.,2000) Results in Tables 8 & 9 showed Incidence of *E. coli* isolates isolated from meat product samples (lanchoun, kofta , beef burger and frankfurter) were 15(30%), 20(40%), 9(18%) and 6(12%) respectively. Also As our results, the Escherichia coli isolated in kofta samples that reported by El-Mossalami (2003) were (40%). However, lower values were recorded by El-Taher-Omyma (1998) (25%), Saleh (2001) (16%) and Al-Mutairi (2011) 7 (28%). On the other side Mansour [14] reported that *E.coli* was detected in 44 beef samples and their incidence were 14 (56%), 12(48%),10(40%) and 8(32%) in kofta, burger, sausage and frankfurter respectively that was higher than our results. Also results that were found by previous investigators. Duitschaeve(1977) and Fathi et al., (1992), they detected *E.coli* in 28.3%of the examined beef burger samples that was less than our results.

**Table 8:** Incidence of E. coli isolates isolated from meat product samples.

Samples	<i>E. coli</i> isolates	%
Luncheon	15	60
Kofta	20	80
Burger	9	36
Frankfurter	6	24

**Table 9:** Serological typing of E. coli isolated from different examined meat product samples.

<i>E. coli</i> serovars	Serodiagnosis	Strain characterization	Percentage
O55	O55 : H7	EPEC	(4/50) 8%
O128	O128 : H2	ETEC	(8/50) 16%
O111	O111 :H4	EHEC	(12/50) 24%
O172	O172	EHEC	(2/50) 4%
O125	O125 : H21	ETEC	(2/50) 4%
O26	O26	EHEC	(2/50) 4%
O125	O125 : H21	ETEC	(2/50) 4%
O119	O119 : H6	EPEC	(6/50) 12%
Untypable	-	-	(12/50) 24%

## Isolation of *Salmonella*

In our study, *Salmonella* serovars were failed to be detected in all examined samples of meat products. *Salmonellae* were failed to be isolated from the examined samples, this may be due to thermal treatment of luncheon during processing or cooking in a temperature around 70-73°C within it may destruct the pathogenic bacteria, also this may be due to addition of additives such as preservatives, spices and nitrites, which have an antimicrobial effect on *Salmonella* which inhibits their growth and multiplication. This result agreed with the legal requirement of E.S.(1114/2005). Obtained result was similar to those reported by (Amal and Seham, 1998; Hala and Hoda, 2002; Amal, 2004 and Ibrahim, 2009), all of them failed to detect *Salmonellae* in luncheon. While (Youssef et al., 1999 and Karmi, 2013) isolate *Salmonellae* from luncheon samples with an incidence 2% and 10% respectively. This may be due to highly contaminated samples, before a cooking step. On the same side, (Abdel-Aziz et al. 1996) and (Kuplul and Oral, 2003) failed to isolate *salmonella* from any of examined luncheon samples. *Salmonella* species were not found in any of the examined luncheon samples. or kofta samples).

## References

- (1984) World Health Organization 'WHO'. The role of food safety in health development. Report of Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Safety, Geneva.
- Mosupy FM, Arntzen L, Von Holy A (1998) Microbiological survey of street-vended food in the Johannesburg metropolitan area of South Africa. Food Sci 63(7): 842-846.
- Shawish RR, Al-Humam NA (2016) Contamination of beef products with staphylococcal classical enterotoxins in Egypt and Saudi Arabia. GMS Hygiene and Infection Control 1: 11.
- Shaltout FA, Ahmed AA Maarouf Ibrahim, A El-Kewaiey, AhmedYA Heweidy (2016) Prevalence of some foodborne microorganisms in meat and meat products. BVMJ 31(2): 213-219.
- Farrag HEM, Nahla T Korashy (2008) The incidence of proteolytic Pseudomonas species associated with ground beef with regards to their spoilage effect. Assiut vet Med J 119:115-128.
- Hamed EA, et al. (2015) Bacteriological hazard associated with meat and meat products. Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research 93(4): 385-393.
- Zweifel C, Baftzer D, Stephan R (2005) Microbiological contamination of cattle and pig carcasses at five abattoirs determined by swab sampling in accordance with EU Decision 2001/471/EC. J Meat Sci 69: 559-566.
- ICMSF(International Commission of Microbiological Specification for Foods)1996. Microorganisms in food. Their significance and Methodes of Enumeration (3<sup>rd</sup> Edn.), Univ. of Toronto, Canada.
- APHA " American public health association" 2001. Compendium of Mehtodes for the Micrbiological examination of Foods (4<sup>th</sup> Edn.), In: F.P.Downs and K Ito(Eds.), APHA Washington D.C., USA.
- Shaltout FA, Hanan MLamada, EhsanA M Edris (2020) BacteriologicalL examination of some ready to eat meat and chicken meals. BVMJ 38: 76-79
- Gab-Allah HM (1990) Snitary Status of some meat poultry products marketed in Sharkia Governorate Thesis, M.V.SC. FacVet Med Zagazig Univ.
- Shaltot FA, Mohamed AH El-Shater, Wafaa Mohamed Abd El-Aziz (2015) Bacteriological assessment of Street Vended Meat Products sandwiches in kalyobia Governorate. BVMJ 28(2): 58-66.
- Mousa MM, Ahmed AA, El-shamy SY (2014) microbiological criteria of some meat products"Alexandria. J Vet Sci 42(1): 83-89.
- Mansour-Amal FA(2013) Occurance of Escherichia coli in some beef products at alexendria markets. Animal Health Reasearch j 1(2): 50-54.

ISSN: 2574-1241

DOI: 10.26717/BJSTR.2022.42.006682

Fahim Aziz Eldin Shaltout. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

Submission Link: <https://biomedres.us/submit-manuscript.php>



### Assets of Publishing with us

- Global archiving of articles
- Immediate, unrestricted online access
- Rigorous Peer Review Process
- Authors Retain Copyrights
- Unique DOI for all articles

<https://biomedres.us/>