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Introduction
The first description of Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (CML) dates back to 1840s, when David Craigie and his colleges described a few cases of patients with fever, splenomegaly and leukocytosis, and subsequent death [1]. In the 1960s, Nowell and Hungerford, and later by others, noticed a consistent feature, the presence of a minute chromosome--Philadelphia chromosome (Ph chromosome), from the blood of CML patients [2]. Ph chromosome was further characterized by Rowley to be originated from a reciprocal chromosomal translocation event, designated t (9;22) [3]. The major outcome of this chromosomal translocation is the production of an oncogenic fusion protein, BCR-ABL, and the causal relationship of BCR-ABL and CML was further established by Daley et al by showing induction of CML-like leukemia in mice by virally transducing BCR-ABL gene into murine stem cells [4].

CML Pathology, Epidemiology and Conventional Therapy
CML is a myeloproliferative disease (MPD), characterized by elevated levels of white blood cell count, with the majority of cells being mature neutrophils, myelocytes, basophils and eosinophils, usually with few blasts [5]. The uncontrolled leukemia cell accumulation in the peripheral blood and bone marrow, and patients finally succumb to disease with subsequent infiltration of leukemic cells into lung and liver [4]. CML has a median onset age at 53 with the annual incidence of about one to two cases per 100,000 people [6]. CML patients are usually identified in chronic, accelerated and blast crisis phases [7]. The survival of patients with blast crisis CML patients is often between 3 to 6 months. In the early days, CML patients were predominantly treated with radiotherapy or chemotherapies with busulfan and hydroxyurea, but neither of them was able to eradicate Ph+ cells effectively [1]. Interferon-α (IFNα) was introduced in the 1980s to treat CML patients in chronic phase and gradually considered the major option for newly diagnosed CML patients.
Till now, allogeneic bone marrow transplantation (BMT) is the only proven cure for CML.

BCR-ABL

Ph Chromosome is found to be in >95% of CML patients, it produces a constitutively active oncogenic tyrosine kinase, BCR-ABL, by joining the breakpoint cluster region gene (BCR) with the Abelson kinase gene (ABL or ABL1) [8]. Depending on the fusion junction, BCR-ABL has three isoforms, p190, p210, and p230. Majority of CML patients possess p210 BCR-ABL. This fusion event prevents the shuttling of BCR and ABL gene between cytoplasm and nucleus. The highly conserved Src-Homology-2 (SH2) binding domain in BCR promotes assembly of signaling complexes, leading to constitutive activation of ABL kinase in the cytoplasm and subsequent cell transformation and CML development, bypassing the requirement of various cytokine-stimulated signaling pathways for normal growth and differentiation of hematopoietic cells [9]. The key signaling pathways activated by BCR-ABL include, but not are limited to, RAF/MEK/ERK, JAK/STAT, and PI3K/AKT pathway [10].

Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor (TKI) and IM Resistance

The first line TKI, imatinib (IM, also known as Gleevec), was developed in the 1990s. IM inhibits BCR-ABL kinase activity by competing with ATP for the access to the ATP-binding pocket, thus locking BCR-ABL at the inactive conformation and preventing substrate phosphorylation and activation of downstream signaling pathways [11]. IM shows great potency in suppressing disease progression in chronic phase CML patients, however, it is much less effective in patients with advanced diseases, especially in blast crisis patients [12]. These unresponsive events were collectively known as IM resistance [13]. IM resistance stems from the repertoire of secondary mutations in CML cell population, either BCR-ABL kinase domain mutation, BCR-ABL gene amplification or other BCR-ABL independent mutations which confer survival advantages to those cells conceiving them upon IM treatment, therefore selectively resulting in the clonal evolution of this subpopulation and disease progression of CML [14,15]. Approximately, 50% of IM-resistant cases are due to either BCR-ABL kinase domain mutation or BCR-ABL gene amplification [16,17]. With BCR-ABL mutation, the survival signal is still provided by the constitutive kinase activity of BCR-ABL. However, in the remaining 50% of resistant cases, BCR-ABL is wildtype and able to be effectively inhibited by IM, unknown genetic alterations shifted the dependence of CML cells on BCR-ABL for survival.

BCR-ABL Dependent TKI Resistance

Therapeutic outcome of IM is often compromised by either BCR-ABL mutations or BCR-ABL gene amplification [18]. Up to now, over 100 mutations have been found to be relevant to TKI resistance, most of which are within the BCR-ABL kinase domain [19,20]. Since these mutant leukemic cells are still relying on BCR-ABL activity for survival, it is thus called “BCR-ABL-dependent TKI resistance” [21]. More potent TKIs, such as the second-generation inhibitors, represented by Nilotinib and Dasatinib, and the third-generation inhibitor, represented by Ponatinib, have been developed to recognize and inhibit a variety of BCR-ABL mutants. In contrast to IM, which is discovered through drug screening, Nilotinib was developed based on rational drug design [22]. Nilotinib blocks the catalytic activity of BCR-ABL by binding to the inactive conformation of ABL kinase domain through lipophilic and weak van der waals interactions [23]. Nilotinib has 10-30 folds of increased potency compared to IM in inhibiting BCR-ABL activity and proliferation of BCR-ABL+ cells [24].

While Nilotinib could inhibit a wide range of BCR-ABL mutations, it has no effect on BCR-ABL with T315I mutation. This ineffectiveness might be attributed to the steric hindrance between the 2-methylphenyl phenyl group of Nilotinib and the isoleucine-methyl group of BCR-ABL [23]. Different from most TKIs binding to the inactive conformation of BCR-ABL, Dasatinib binds to the active conformation of ABL kinase with reduced selectivity and less stringent conformational requirement [25]. These binding characteristics of Dasatinib result in a ~325-fold increased potency against wildtype BCR-ABL than IM and effectively inhibit the majority of BCR-ABL mutations except for T315I [26]. In addition, Dasatinib is also highly active against SRC family kinases including FRG, FYN, HCK, LCK, LYN, and YES, additional tyrosine kinase targets include KIT and PDGFR [27]. CML patients will switch to the second-generation inhibitor, Nilotinib or Dasatinib, when the disease becomes refractory to IM due to resistant mutations [28-29]. Many of these mutations could be inhibited by second-generation TKIs, however, long-term disease remission is not always achieved with sequential TKI treatment. Patients often relapse due to acquired mutations at additional amino acid positions, such as the notorious gatekeeper mutation, T315I [30,31]. The third-generation inhibitor, Ponatinib, a dual ABL/SRC inhibitor, is the first TKI demonstrating potent inhibition of T315I mutation and most of known BCR-ABL mutations. This could be explained by the linear structure of ponatinib which helps it avoid steric hindrance with the hydrophobic gatekeeper residues. It is noteworthy that T315I is not the only mutation causing resistance to Nilotinib or Dasatinib. Moreover, the amino acid substitution at 315 position from Threonine to Methionine abolishes the effect of Ponatinib, which was originally designed to target T315I mutation [32]. In addition to these resistant mutations caused by single amino acid substitution, acquisition of mutations at the second or third amino acid positions in the same BCR-ABL protein molecule, dubbed “compound mutations”, is another major cause of TKI resistance and disease relapse [32,33].

BCR-ABL Independent TKI Resistance

The causes of primary resistance to TKI are much more diverse, and sometimes associated with an individual’ genetic background. One of the well-known driving mechanism of IM resistance is the overexpression of an SRC family kinase, Lyn, discovered by treating an IM sensitive K562 cell line with increasing dose of
IM and validated in primary resistant CML samples [34]. As well, overexpression of the MDR1 gene, which encodes p-glycoprotein, a multidrug exporter, mediates imatinib resistance [35]. A recent study carried out a genome-wide shRNA screen in human CML cells and revealed that dozens of genes, upon knockdown, lead to IM resistance. It was shown that this resistance is at least partially caused by transcriptional upregulation of PRKCH, and subsequent activation of downstream MAPK pathway [36].

Oncogene addiction is proposed as the underlying mechanism by which cancer cell can be effectively targeted. It is thought that BCR-ABL inhibition triggers rapid loss of pro-survival signals while gaining pro-apoptotic signals, the highly skewed signaling finally leads to cell death [37]. Nonetheless, more and more studies have shown that cell signaling often responds in a network fashion, and transient activation of compensatory pathways could slow down or circumvent cell death program [38], leading to TKI resistance. One example is the oncogene BCL6, which is suppressed by JAK/STAT pathway. TKI treatment inhibits BCR-ABL and its downstream JAK/STAT pathway, thus releasing the suppression on BCL6, which then acts in a feedback loop to compromise the efficacy of TKI [39].

TKI Insensitivity and Mechanisms of CML Stem Cells

In spite of the great efficacy of TKI in suppressing CML progression in general, TKI therapy alone barely leads to a cure. Like many other malignancies, CML is also propagated by a small population of stem cells, CML stem cells. Mounting evidence has demonstrated that CML stem cells remain alive even after BCR-ABL activity has been effectively inhibited by TKIs [40-42], indicating that CML stem cells are not depending on BCR-ABL itself for survival. Additionally, IM discontinuation often leads to CML relapses and this relapse does not result from BCR-ABL mutation [43,44] again suggesting the long-term persistence of CML stem cells.

It was first noted by Holyoke and colleagues that IM treatment fails to kill all Lin-CD34+ cells isolated from peripheral blood of chronic phase CML patient. Jiang and colleagues further demonstrated that purified CD34+CD38− population exhibited significantly lower sensitivity to IM treatment irrespective of the presence of growth factors [42]. Most recently, Druker and colleagues for the first time showed that IM treatment robustly inhibits tyrosine phosphorylation of BCR-ABL as well as phosphorylation of its downstream substrate CRKL and STAT5 in purified CD34+CD38− cell population, and also confirmed CML stem cell viability could not be affected by IM in the presence or absence of growth factors [45].

Studies in primary CML patient samples and CML mouse models have revealed the essential signaling pathways involved in CML stem cell maintenance. For example, Wnt/β-catenin pathway was reported to be essential for the self-renewal and differentiation of leukemic stem cells other than normal HSCs [46]. Global gene profiling of mouse HSCs and CML stem cells (Lin-Sca1+Kit+GFP+) treated with or without IM identified Alox5 as a major CML stem cell maintenance gene [47]. PRKCH/MAPK pathway has also been demonstrated to contribute to IM insensitivity of CML stem cells [36]. More recently, systemic analysis of gene expression at both RNA and protein level in CML stem cells revealed deregulated of p53 and c-Myc signaling network [48]. Using small molecules to increase p53 stability while simultaneously abolishing of c-Myc signaling dramatically enhanced elimination of CML stem cells. Additional reported signaling pathways contributing to IM resistance of CML stem cells includes hedgehog [49] TGF-FOXO [50], BCL6 [51] and HIF1α [52].

Conclusion

Taken together, understanding the mechanisms of various types of IM-resistance will provide better opportunities to devise therapeutic strategies for effective treatment of CML and eventually achieve a cure.
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