

On the Relevance of Accounting for Uid-Structure Interaction Effects in the Numerical Studies of Type B Aortic Dissection

Pavel B Ryzhakov*

International Center for Numerical Methods in Engineering (CIMNE), Spain

***Corresponding author:** Pavel B Ryzhakov, Associate research professor, International Center for Numerical Methods in Engineering (CIMNE), C. Gran Capitan s/n, Ed. C1, Campus Norte, UPC, Barcelona, Spain



ARTICLE INFO

Received:  March 19, 2019

Published:  March 27, 2019

Citation: Pavel B Ryzhakov. On the Relevance of Accounting for Uid-Structure Interaction Effects in the Numerical Studies of Type B Aortic Dissection. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res 16(3)-2019. BJSTR. MS.ID.002852.

ABSTRACT

This paper provides a brief review of advances in the numerical simulation of aortic dissection pathology. Even though majority of the numerical studies performed to-date rely on the assumption of rigid aortic walls and intimal ap, some recent studies reveal that including uid-solid interaction effects is essential. The paper highlights that the uid-solid interaction studies are important not only for predicting the effect of the solid deformation upon the haemodynamics in the lumina, but also for predicting the locations of highest stresses within the wall tissue. The limitations of the present approaches from the modeling point of view and from the practical points of view as well as possible ways of circumventing them are also outlined.

Abbreviations: AD: Aortic Dissection; FL: False Lumen; MRT: Magnetic Resonance Tomo-Gramy; ALE: Arbitrary Lagrangian Eulerian

Introduction: Aortic Dissection

Aortic pathologies are considered to be one of the most dangerous groups of cardiovascular disease due to their high morbidity and mortality (more than 50% in acute phase) [1]. Aortic Dissection (AD) is probably the most common injury of an aorta, 3 times more frequent than rupture of the aortic abdominal aneurysm. AD is burdened by a high mortality if not treated timely and adequately. The AD pathology is initiated by an intimal tear in the aortic wall. As the tear progresses, the tunica media of the aortic vessel splits leading to the formation of a secondary channel known as the "False Lumen" (FL). Once the anomaly is formed, the mortality rates are high. In case of aortic wall rupture, lethal outcome occurs nearly immediately due to severe internal bleeding. For type B ADs (i.e. those involving only the descending aorta) only 40 % of patients survive if untreated. In many cases an emergency surgical treatment is the only possible curative therapy. Nevertheless, surgical treatments are characterized by risk of vascular complications, bleeding or spinal ischemic cord injury [2]. If this occurs, subsequent medical management is impossible.

Moreover, if AD is asymptomatic and distal, it cannot be treated surgically and allows for pharmacological treatment only. In the long term, drug treatment is based on the use of beta blockers and ACE inhibitors [3], since the pressure of the subject must always be permanently controlled. The operative mortality rate in AD patients is low. Even though acute type B dissection is characterized by lower mortality rate that the acute type A (the one that involves the ascending aorta), type B persists even after correction. Type B aortic dissections have high mid/long term mortality primarily due to progressive aortic dilatation and subsequent rupture. In the clinical practice the prediction of the outcome in type B AD in the chronic phase is typically carried out on the basis of the maximum diameter of the entire aorta. Stanford criteria for surgical intervention include all kinds of patients (symptomatic and asymptomatic) with different AD types as well as false aneurysmal dilatation, for the cases where the pathological dimension is more than twice larger than the diameter of the contiguous "normal" aorta (more than 6 cm) [4]. Depending on the size the most adequate therapeutic

treatment is chosen. Nevertheless, it was shown that the maximum aortic diameter is not a reliable indicator for predicting the progression or rupture [5].

Experimental and Numerical Studies for Determining Risk Factors in Aortic Dissections

To date it is a generally accepted fact that knowing geometrical features of the patient's aorta (that can be easily obtained using Magnetic Resonance Tomography (MRT)) is insufficient for predicting the associated risks at the chronic stage. Such haemodynamic factors as intra-luminal pressure, size and location of the tears in the intimal flap, magnitude wall shear stress as well as aortic wall properties appear to have an important impact upon the development of the diseases [6,7]. However, measuring or quantifying these parameters clinically is very challenging as it requires invasive techniques. For this reason, numerical simulations and *ex vivo* experiments can play an important role in studying the chronic AD progression in order to prevent lethal outcomes. Considerable amount of work has been dedicated to understanding the haemodynamics in the aortic dissection in *ex vivo* models [8,9], experimental phantoms [7,10-13] using numerical simulations of phantom models [14,15], using numerical simulations considering AD geometries of real patients [6,16-18] and most recently using 4D phase-contrast MRI AD data [19,20].

Majority of the existing numerical simulations of AD considered fluid dynamics only, excluding the elastic effects in the walls and the intimal flap (e.g. [14-16]). The models typically performed well when validated using the data of *in vitro* phantom experiments, but the materials (silicon/latex) used for aortic walls and the flap in the *in vitro* models are considerably stiffer than the actual aortic tissue. In [21] the comparison of the computational model results with the patient-specific studies demonstrated that rigid wall models overpredict the pulsatility of both pressure and flow wave-forms, even if the mean flow is not greatly affected. The error may be as high as 50 %, however in type B chronic ADs the differences may be smaller due to the fact that the aortic wall and the septum are generally stiffer than in other AD types. Even for the cases where fluid flow is not greatly affected by the solid deformations, FSI models may have a significant importance. In particular, numerical models based on the assumption of rigid walls are incapable of predicting stress distribution within the flap and the aortic wall, which is necessary for identifying the regions potentially prone to rupture.

Fully coupled FSI simulations based on partitioned Arbitrary Lagrangian Eulerian (ALE) approach was performed considering simplified AD geometry in [22]. Von Mises wall stress was estimated. The results of this study showed that peak wall stress and maximum shear stress are highest in the media layer. In [23] the evolution of principal stresses at several locations of the intimal flap was computed using a monolithic ALE FSI model and it was shown that the stress evolution profile closely resembles that of the fluid pressure in

the lumina, while the maximum stress values are 15 % higher than that of the flow pressure. Moreover, high frequency solid oscillations were identified at some locations [24]. In [18] partitioned FSI simulation of acute aortic dissection were performed using ABAQUS software and compared against an experiment made on a fraction of porcine aorta connected to an electronically actuated pulsatile flow pump. The geometry of the aorta used in the simulation was greatly simplified. The analysis concentrated on flow velocities in true and false lumina as well as the stresses in the flap. However, porcine aorta strongly differ from the human aorta in terms of wall thickness and material properties. Partitioned FSI simulations using ANSYS of aortic dissection based on a sample patient case were performed in [6].

The study obtained predictions of wall shear stress significantly different from those obtained by the rigid wall model. Moreover, it was shown that when performing the studies using the material properties of the real human aorta, the effects of the deformation of the walls and intimal flap also stop being negligible even in type B dissections. Slight rotations of the tears may considerably change the location of the impact of the jets originating from the tears. Accurate prediction of the FL outer wall location exposed to the jet impact may indicate the areas exposed to enhanced danger of rupture.

Limitations of the Present Approaches

The use of computational modeling technology opened a new path for predicting the behavior of a complex mechanical system involving blood flow in the dissected aorta. Several recent studies have shown that fluid-solid interaction simulations are mandatory for obtaining reliable predictions. They provide estimation of the wall stress distributions that cannot be obtained when applying the rigid-body models. Moreover, even though the works performed considering the properties of *in vitro* phantoms confirmed that the solid deformations are negligible in terms of their effect upon the fluid flow, it was discovered that when performing simulations using the material properties of a true human aorta, the blood flow indeed becomes affected by the solid deformations.

This calls for major efforts devoted to numerical simulations using the data corresponding to human patients. The latest FSI technology existing in the modeling community is indeed mature enough so as to perform the reliable AD simulations (which is shown by successful validation of several computational models by means of comparison with *in vitro* experiments). Two factors still constitute a significant bottleneck for extensive application of FSI models to AD studies in clinical practice. First is the high computational cost of the FSI simulations, particularly when using commercial software. Low density of aorta makes the simulations using conventional FSI models very challenging due to the "added mass effect", which manifests when the density ratio between the fluid and the solid is close to 1 [25,26]. This leads to slow convergence rates of standard partitioned FSI solvers (typically implemented in

the commercial computational uid dynamics software) if no special remedies, such as e.g. dynamic under-relaxation are implemented [27]. Therefore, application of front-edge FSI models available in many Open Source code (e.g. OpenFOAM [28] or KRATOS [29]) for the problem at hand should be encouraged.

Monolithic solvers, that do not suffer added mass effect (such as e.g. the ones proposed for bio-mechanics problems in [23], [30]) equipped with acceleration strategies [31] or/and powerful iterative solvers suitable for solution of poorly condition linear systems [32] appear to be promising for the problem at hand. Moreover, open source codes permit implementing user-defined acceleration techniques, linear solver libraries that can lead to important improvement in computational times. Second problem originates from the fact that computational model developers have a very limited exposure to the true test cases taken from the medical practice, and, as it is put in evidence in the present work, commonly perform their simulations on simplified geometries, which strongly reduce practical relevance of their simulation results. Practically all studies performed to date have majorly qualitative relevance and the corresponding results do not have direct impact on the clinical practice. Three requirements must be met for obtaining reliable predictions of practical importance:

- a) Availability of reliable patient-specific clinical data (including MRT images, material properties and haemodynamics boundary conditions).
- b) Availability of stable FSI models tailored specially for the AD studies.
- c) Possibility of creating databases with the case studies available to the healthcare community.

Therefore, only bringing together the computational model developers and the healthcare professionals may result in a true breakthrough in virtual prediction of the risk in the development of chronic aortic dissections. Consequently, better understanding will enable establishing better strategies for the treatment of patients with chronic type B ADs in the long-term perspective via determination of areas of potential aortic augmentation.

References

1. DeSanctis R, Doroghazi R, Austen W, Buckley M (1987) Aortic dissection. *New England Journal of Medicine* 317(17): 1060-1067.
2. Tsai T, Trimarchi S, Nienaber C (2009) Acute aortic dissection: perspectives from the international registry of acute aortic dissection (Irad). *European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery* 37(2): 149-159.
3. Erbel R, Alfonso F, Boileau C, Dirsch O, Eber B, et al. (2001) Diagnosis and management of aortic dissection: task force on aortic dissection, European Society of Cardiology. *European Heart Journal* 22(18): 1642-1681.
4. Fann JJ, Smith JA, Miller DC, Mitchell RS, Moore KA, et al. (1995) Surgical management of aortic dissection during a 30-year period. *Circulation* 92(9): 113-121.
5. Neri E, Barabesi L, Buklas D, Vricella LA, Benvenuti A, et al. (2005) Limited role of aortic size in the genesis of acute type an aortic dissection. *European journal of cardiothoracic surgery* 28(6): 857-863.
6. Alimohammadi M, Sherwood JM, Karimpour M, Agu O, Balabani S, et al. (2015) Aortic dissection simulation models for clinical support: fluid-structure interaction vs. rigid wall models. *Biomedical engineering online* 14(1): 34.
7. Rudenick PA, Bijmens BH, García Dorado D, Evangelista A (2013) An *in vitro* phantom study on the influence of tear size and configuration on the hemodynamics of the lumina in chronic type B aortic dissections. *Journal of vascular surgery* 57(2): 464-474.
8. Faure EM, Canaud L, Cathala P, Serres I, Marty Ané C, et al. (2014) Human *ex vivo* model of Stanford type B aortic dissection. *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 60(3): 767-775.
9. Qing KX, Chan YC, Lau SF, Yiu WK, Ting AC, et al. (2012) *Ex vivo* haemodynamic models for the study of Stanford type B aortic dissection in isolated porcine aorta. *European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery* 44(4): 399-405.
10. Tsai TT, Schlicht MS, Khanafer K, Bull JL, Valassis DT, et al. (2008) Tear size and location impacts false lumen pressure in an *ex vivo* model of chronic type B aortic dissection. *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 47(4): 844-851.
11. Paula A, Rudenick Maurizio, Bordone Bart H, Bijmens Eduardo, Soudah Eugenio Oñate, et al. (2010) A Multi-method Approach towards Understanding the Pathophysiology of Aortic Dissections - The Complementary Role of In-Silico, *In-Vitro* and *In-Vivo* Information. In *International Workshop on Statistical Atlases and Computational Models of the Heart*, pp. 114-123.
12. Peelukhana SV, Wang Y, Berwick Z, Kratzberg J, Krieger J, et al. (2017) Role of Pulse Pressure and Geometry of Primary Entry Tear in Acute Type B Dissection Propagation. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, 45(3): 592-603.
13. Marconi S, Lanzarone E, De Beaufort H, Conti M, Trimarchi S, et al. (2017) A novel insight into the role of entry tears in type B aortic dissection: pressure measurements in an *in vitro* model. *The International journal of artificial organs* 40(10): 563-574.
14. Soudah E, Rudenick P, Bordone M, Bijmens B, Garcia Dorado D, et al. (2015) Validation of numerical flow simulations against *in vitro* phantom measurements in different type B aortic dissection scenarios. *Computer methods in biomechanics and biomedical engineering* 18(8): 805-815.
15. Ben Ahmed S, Dillon Murphy D, Figueroa CA (2016) Figueroa. *Computational Study of Anatomical Risk Factors in Idealized Models of Type B Aortic Dissection*. *European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery* 52(6): 736-745.
16. Tse KM, Chiu P, Lee HP, Ho P (2011) Investigation of hemodynamics in the development of dissecting aneurysm within patient-specific dissecting aneurismal aortas using computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations. *Journal of biomechanics* 44(5): 827-836.
17. Wan ab Naim W, Ganesan P, Sun Z, Osman K, Lim E (2014) The Impact of the Number of Tears in Patient-Specific Stanford Type B Aortic Dissecting Aneurysm: CFD Simulation. *Journal of Mechanics in Medicine and Biology* 14(02): 1450017.
18. Chen HY, Peelukhana SV, Berwick ZC, Kratzberg J, Krieger JF, et al. (2016) Fluid-Structure Interaction Simulations of Aortic Dissection with Bench Validation. *Journal of Vascular Surgery* 64(6): 1892.
19. Sherrah AG, Callaghan FM, Puranik R, Jeremy RW, Bannon PG, et al. (2017) Multi-Velocity Encoding Four-Dimensional Flow Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Assessment of Chronic Aortic Dissection. *AORTA Journal* 5(3): 80-90.
20. Dongting Liu, Zhanming Fan, Yu Li, Nan Zhang, Zhonghua Sun, et al. (2018) Quantitative Study of Abdominal Blood Flow Patterns in Patients

- with Aortic Dissection by 4-Dimensional Flow MRI. *Scientific Reports* 8(1): 9111.
21. Dillon Murphy D, Noorani A, Nordsletten D, Figueroa CA (2016) Multi-modality image-based computational analysis of haemodynamics in aortic dissection. *Biomechanics and modeling in mechanobiology* 15(4): 857-876.
 22. Khanafer K, Berguer R (2009) Fluid-structure interaction analysis of turbulent pulsatile flow within a layered aortic wall as related to aortic dissection. *Journal of biomechanics* 42(16): 2642-2648.
 23. Ryzhakov P, Soudah E, Dialami N (2019) Computational modeling of the fluid flow and the exible intimal ap in type b aortic dissection via a monolithic arbitrary lagrangian/eulerian fluid-structure interaction model. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Biomedical Engineering*.
 24. Ryzhakov P, Marti J, Dialami N (2019) A uni ed arbitrary la-grangian/ eulerian model for fluid-structure interaction problems involving ows in exible pipes. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*.
 25. Van Brummelen E (2009) Added Mass Effects of Compressible and Incompressible Flows in Fluid-Structure Interaction *Journal of Applied mechanics* 76(2): 021206.
 26. Pavel B Ryzhakov, Eugenio Oñate (2017) A finite element model for fluid-structure interaction problems involving closed membranes, internal and external fluids. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 326: 422-445.
 27. Joris Degroote, Peter Bruggeman, Robby Haelterman, Jan Vierendeels (2008) Stability of a coupling technique for partitioned solvers in fsi applications. *Computers & Structures* 86(23-24): 2224-2234.
 28. Hrvoje Jasak, Aleksandar Jemcov, Zeljko Tukovi'c (2007) Openfoam: A c++ library for com-plex physics simulations. In *International workshop on coupled methods in numerical dynamics*, IUC Dubrovnik Croatia 1000: 1-20.
 29. Pooyan Dadvand, Riccardo Rossi, Eugenio Oñate (2010) An Object-oriented Environment for Developing Finite Element Codes for Multi-disciplinary Applications. *Archives of computational methods in engineering* 17(3): 253-297.
 30. Jaroslav Hron, Stefan Turek (2006) A Monolithic FEM/Multigrid Solver for an ALE Formulation of Fluid-Structure Interaction with Applications in Biomechanics. In *Fluid-structure interaction*, pp. 146-170.
 31. Ryzhakov, Pavel Cotela Dalmau, Jordi Rossi, Riccardo, Oñate Ibáñez de Navarra, et al. (2014) A two-step monolithic method for efficient simulation of incompressible flows. *International journal for numerical methods in fluids* 74(12): 919-934.
 32. Denis Demidov, Riccardo Rossi (2017) Subdomain Deflation Combined with Local AMG: A Case Study Using AMGCL Library. *arXiv preprint*.

ISSN: 2574-1241

DOI: 10.26717/BJSTR.2019.16.002852

Pavel B Ryzhakov. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

Submission Link: <https://biomedres.us/submit-manuscript.php>



Assets of Publishing with us

- Global archiving of articles
- Immediate, unrestricted online access
- Rigorous Peer Review Process
- Authors Retain Copyrights
- Unique DOI for all articles

<https://biomedres.us/>