PLAG1: Diagnostic Utility in atypical Pleomorphic Adenoma
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Introduction

Background

Pleomorphic adenoma (PA), the most common type of salivary gland tumor, accounts for about 47% in epithelial tumors of salivary gland tumor and is characterized pathologically by its differentiation in histology and cell phenotypes. Pleomorphic adenoma gene 1, located on 8q12, plays a critical role in tumorigenesis of pleomorphic adenoma, whereas the t(3;8)(p21;q12) translocation is the most common type [1,2]. As a nuclear oncogene, PLAG1 encodes a
developmentally regulated transcription factor and constitutes a subfamily of zinc finger proteins [2]. In normal salivary glands, PLAG1 is either not expressed at all or only weakly expressed, in both the acinar and ductal components [3]. The pathological activation of PLAG1 is mainly due to reciprocal chromosome translocation with one of its fusion partners including CTNNB1, CHCHD7, LIFR, TCEA1 [4-7]. The fusion gene leads to up-regulation of PLAG1, which functions as a developmentally regulated transcription factor. The histologic features of pleomorphic adenoma were well...
defined according to the World Health Organization classification of salivary gland tumors.

Typically, a well-circumscribed PA has a broad spectrum of histologic features, which were assessed for myoepithelial cells and luminal epithelial cells, and characteristic. However, PA could be difficult to distinguish from several benign and malignant salivary gland tumors due to its variable morphology. Moreover, the diagnostic utility was challenged when separating PA from other basaloid salivary gland tumors [8]. In human pleomorphic adenomas, the diagnostic value of PLAG1 immunostaining may not be as daunting as we used to believe. However, combining with granular and myoepithelial markers, the immunostaining results could show us a preferential diagnostic landscape of PA. Atypical PA, in PLAG1 transgenic mouse of submandibular perfectly mimic the major pathologic features of human pleomorphic adenoma but cartilaginous components in PLAG1 transgenic mouse. In this study, we explored diagnostic utility of PLAG1 in atypical pleomorphic adenomas in our unique mouse models.

Materials and Methods

MMTV-PLAG1 Transgenic Mice

MMTV-PLAG1 transgenic mice were generated and maintained in C57BL/6 background in Experiment Animal Center, Shanghai Ruijin Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University, School of Medicine (China). All transgenic mice used in this study were heterozygous for the PLAG1 transgene and were all genotyped via PCR. The entire experimental protocol was approved by the Animal Care and Experiment Committee of Ninth people’s Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University, School of Medicine (Shanghai, China).

Histopathologic Examination and Immunohistochemistry

Tissue for histopathologic analysis were rapidly dissected and fixed in 10% buffered formalin. The tissues were embedded in paraffin and 5μm sections were cut. Sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. For immunohistochemistry analysis, sections were deparaffinized through xylene and dehydrated with graded alcohol. Endogenous peroxidase was then blocked with 3% H2O2 for 30 min at room temperature. Antigen retrieval was performed by treating slides with citrate buffer in a microwave for 20 min. The slides were then incubated in moist chamber with first antibody at 4°C overnight. After a brief wash in PBS, the slides were treated with a second antibody for 45 min at 37°C. The slides were developed in 0.05% freshly prepared diaminobenzidine solution (DAB; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) for 5-10 min, then counterstained and mounted. The following antibodies were used: PLAG1 (Novus, Littleton, Colo., USA), cytokeratin 7 (Novus 1:10000, Littleton, Colo., USA), cytokeratin 19 (Novus 1:500, Littleton, Colo., USA), calponin (abnova 1:500), SMA (abcam) and P63 (abcam 1:1200).

Results

The Pathological Features of Atypical Pleomorphic Adenoma in PLAG1 Transgenic Mouse

The anatomical site of the neoplasm in PLAG1 transgenic mouse was within the unilateral submandibular gland, completely encapsulated, with multiple-nodules accumulation and clear border with the adjunct normal tissue. Meanwhile, cystic degeneration was scattered in well-demarcated area of PA components. The photomacrograph disclosed the tumor with several tumor bosselations (Figure 1A & 1B). Some bosselations projected far beyond the range of normal salivary glands, but normally within a complete capsule. Like PA in human salivary glands, PA in PLAG1 transgenic mouse may grow beyond the pseudo-encapsulation, and this feature may not be regarded as invasion (Figure 1C & 1D). The tumor is pathologically characterized by bland myoepithelial cells in mesenchymal component arranged in short fascicles admixed with tubule-glandular structure (Figure 2A).
Like human PA, myoepithelial cells were presented in different forms of spindled, plasmacytoid, or polygonal epithelioid myoepithelial cells in PLAG1 transgenic mouse (Figure 2B). Inner abluminal cuboidal cells combined with outer myoepithelial layer exhibited typical luminal-like structure. Hyalinization area with eosinophilic matrix production also displayed the classic pattern of PA (Figure 2C). However, chondroid tissue, which is common in human pleomorphic adenoma, was completely absent in this animal model of PLAG1 transgenic mouse. The pathological features of PLAG1 transgenic mouse model was sometimes confused with myoepithelioma. However, the presenting evidence of tubule-glandular structure and myxoid-like tissue can be used to help distinguish PA from its counterparts of myoepithelioma (Figure 2B & 2D).

Figure 2: The neoplasm in PLAG1 transgenic mouse exhibited remarkable histopathological similarities to pleomorphic adenoma of human salivary glands. (A) Granular epithelium and myoepithelium (x200). (B) Eosinophilic matrix and Myoepithelial cells of different forms (x200). (C, D) Tubule-glandular structures (x400).

The Diagnostic Utility of PLAG1 in Atypical Pleomorphic Adenoma

PLAG1, the genetic marker of PA, is positive in lumen-forming cells, tubule-glandular outer layer myoepithelium and stromal spindle cells (Figure 3A). The cytoplasm in most lumen-forming cells showed mild positivity for cytokeratin 7/19 (Figure 3B & 3C), which verified their nature of granular differentiation. Nucleus of both stromal spindle cells and ductal outer myoepithelium showed strong positivity for p63 (Figure 3D) confirming their squamous or myoepithelial differentiation. Moderate SMA positivity was present mainly in the cytoplasm of ductal outer cells (Figure 3E). Furthermore, there is a strong positivity of S100 and Calponin in the cytoplasm of both stroma spindle cells and ductal outer myoepithelium (Figure 3F & 3G). The Ki67 proliferation index counts were around 10 to 12% depending on the field examined (Figure 3H). The morphological patterns together with above immunohistochemical results manifested the diagnostic importance of PLAG1 in atypical pleomorphic adenoma. With a wide morphological spectrum, including cellularity and matrix formation, PA can recapitulate low-grade poly-morphologic tumors of differentiated variants.

Figure 3: Representative images of the immunohistochemical staining for ranular epithelium and myoepithelial markers. (A) PLAG1 (B) Cytokeratin 7 (C) Cytokeratin 19 (D)p63 (E) SMA (F)S100(G) Calponin (H) Ki67 (x200).
The diagnostic interpretation of PLAG1 immunostaining is challenging as the expression of PLAG1 in PA is not uniform, while tumor cells with myoepithelial differentiation were immunopositive, those with keratinization were not [8]. In Matsuyama’s study, myoepithelial cells showed relatively higher positivity while luminal epithelial cells with keratohyalin granules were less likely to show immunoreactivity to PLAG1 [9]. However, our study in this atypical PA shows that both myoepithelial cells and luminal epithelial cells were immunoreactive to PLAG1. In addition, myoepithelial cells show stronger positivity than luminal epithelial cells in this mouse model. On the basis of our knowledge, the genotype is the same in both myoepithelial cells and luminal epithelial cells in pleomorphic adenoma of PLAG1 transgenic mouse. It is possible that there are other mechanisms beyond what we used to think about the regulation of PLAG1. Of interest and in keeping with our prior observation, the cartilaginous component of PA of PLAG1 transgenic mouse was completely absent.

It is likely that the cartilaginous component is derived from an epigenetic mechanism other than PLAG1 overexpression. PLAG1 gene rearrangements are associated with tubule-glandular differentiation and morphologically resembled salivary PA [10]. Concordant with previous studies, our results support the important role PLAG1 plays in the pathogenesis of PA in PLAG1 transgenic mouse. Vaidehi Avadhani et al. found that 72% cases of PA were immunopositive for PLAG1, and fine-needle aspiration specimens tended to be more immunoactive than surgical excision specimens [8]. In addition, myoepithelial cells show stronger positivity than luminal epithelial cells with keratinization were not [8]. In Matsuyama’s study, myoepithelial cells were more immunoactive than luminal epithelial cells. However, our study in this atypical PA shows that both myoepithelial cells and luminal epithelial cells were immunoreactive to PLAG1. In addition, myoepithelial cells show stronger positivity than luminal epithelial cells in this mouse model. On the basis of our knowledge, the genotype is the same in both myoepithelial cells and luminal epithelial cells in pleomorphic adenoma of PLAG1 transgenic mouse. It is possible that there are other mechanisms beyond what we used to think about the regulation of PLAG1. Of interest and in keeping with our prior observation, the cartilaginous component of PA of PLAG1 transgenic mouse was completely absent.

The neoplasm in PLAG1 transgenic mouse exhibited remarkable histopathological similarities to pleomorphic adenoma of human salivary glands. The unequivocal expression of PLAG1 combined with adjacent granular epithelium and myoepithelial markers confirmed the final diagnosis and typing. From pathological perspectives, we believe the neoplasm in PLAG1 transgenic mouse perfectly mimics the atypical pleomorphic adenoma of human salivary glands.
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