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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Evaluation of an alternative solution for detectors of dual energy x-ray absorptiometry systems

Methods: Bone mineral density (BMD) evaluation tools are crucial to the proper diagnosis of osteoporosis. The commercially available DEXA systems utilize CZT as the detector of the small dose of ionizing radiation passing through the area of interest. Our paper presents a novel Strontium Iodide doped with Europium (SrI$_2$:Eu$^{2+}$) scintillator crystal coupled to a Silicon Photomultiplier (SiPM) array as a less expensive alternative to CZT detector. Dual energy (60 keV and 122 keV) exposure was used for BMD measurements of a phantom containing CaHPO$_4$ to mimic bone and resin to mimic soft tissue. We also determine the mass thickness of copper and aluminum samples.

Results: Our measurements showed a less than 12% difference between theoretical and experimental results on determining BMD for bone phantom and on mass thickness of Cu and Al samples.

Conclusion: SrI$_2$:Eu$^{2+}$ crystal array coupled with a SiPM array is a viable alternative to CZT detectors used on commercially available DEXA systems for Bone Mineral Density measurements.

Introduction

Osteoporosis is a bone disease characterized by low Bone Mineral Density (BMD) leading to a reduction in bone quality. Up to 1 in 2 women and 1 in 4 men over the age of 50 will endure osteoporosis related fractures, making the disease a public health threat [1]. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) is the gold standard technique to measure BMD and diagnose osteoporosis. DEXA uses a small dose of ionizing radiation to create images of bones and to measure BMD. Although DEXA’s ability to reproduce BMD measurements is commended, there are some limitations to its technology causing its results to be misleading. Faulty interpretation of DEXA results by physicians leads to an over estimation of BMD in people with large bones and an underestimation of BMD in people with smaller bones [2]. Interpretation leading to misdiagnosis leads to increased medical expenses. In 2017, the National Osteoporosis Foundation reported that osteoporosis related fractures costs are responsible for up to $19 billion annually to patients and the healthcare system [1]. By 2025, experts project the cost to increase to $25 billion annually [1]. With an increased awareness of osteoporosis and the inaccurate assessments of BMD by DEXA, we aim to tackle DEXA’s lack of accuracy in determining BMD by improving and refining materials used as a gamma ray detector. We have developed a DEXA prototype that couples a SrI$_2$:Eu$^{2+}$ scintillator with a SiPM. SrI$_2$:Eu$^{2+}$ scintillator is a novel material developed at Fisk University. The SiPM is a low power, high efficiency photo-sensor that can offer the capabilities to develop imagers with better spatial resolution than Photomultiplier Tube (PMT).

The optimization of the DEXA detector will lower the cost of the scanner and enhance its performance for proper BMD reports.
Europium activated strontium iodide was discovered to be a high-performance scintillator at room temperature in 2007. Cherepy et al. [3] reported on its scintillation properties for crystals grown by the Bridgman method showing a light yield of 90,000 photons/MeV. SrI2:Eu2+ shows promise for high energy resolution, with a reported FWHM energy resolution at 662 keV of 2.5% close to the 2% of the semiconductor CZT [4, 5]. The price for CZT detectors is considerably high due to raw material processing and defect-free growth difficulties. The segregation coefficient of Zn along the growth axis in CZT is large (k = 1.35) leading to the high compositional variation [6]. SrI2:Eu2+ scintillation emission spectrum presents a sharp peak around 435 nm which is in the maximum photon detection efficiency range of the SiPM produced by SensL that will be used in the experiments [3, 7]. The low power consumption and the small size of SiPM creates the premises to reduce the size of the device and make it portable.

**Experimental Methods**

A 4 x 4 array composed of 3 mm x 3 mm x 8 mm SrI2:Eu2+ crystals (Figure 1a) were encapsulated in an aluminum package hermitized with a quartz window (Figure 1b). Each crystal of the array was surrounded by GORE reflector on all but one side in order to redirect all the scintillation light toward the SiPM (Figure 1c).

The 16 crystals of SrI2:Eu2+ are aligned with the 16 pixels (3x3 mm) of SiPM. All the scintillation light produced by the interaction of ionizing radiation with a SrI2:Eu2+ crystal will be converted by the respective SiPM pixel into an electrical signal. The 16 crystals of SrI2:Eu2+ are aligned with the 16 pixels (3x3 mm) of SiPM. All the scintillation light produced by the interaction of ionizing radiation with a SrI2:Eu2+ crystal will be converted by the respective SiPM pixel into an electrical signal. A cylindrical bone phantom (1.5 cm thick) containing CaHPO4, as substitute of bone and resin as soft tissue was prepared. Two other samples composed of aluminum (2.3 mm thick) and copper (0.2 mm thick) were also used into the experiments. The density of aluminum (ρ = 2.7 g/cm3) is comparable to that of CaHPO4 (ρ = 2.89 g/cm3), while copper is much denser (ρ = 8.96 g/cm3) [8]. Table 1 presents the size and the theoretical mass-thickness values of each sample used in the experiments. Theoretical mass-thickness is thickness of attenuating material. In both equation (b) and (s) are referring to bone and soft tissue, respectively. The 60 and 122 keV are attenuated differently by each sample. The spectrum obtained with a sample will provide data about count rate, l, at 60 keV and at 122 keV. A separate spectrum is acquired without any sample covering the detector which will serve as a measure of incoming count rate l0. The transport of the beams is described by two similar equations: [9]

\[
\frac{l}{l_0} = e^{-\left(\frac{\mu_b \rho_b}{\rho_b} \cdot t_b\right)}
\]

\[
\frac{l}{l_0} = e^{-\left(\frac{\mu_s \rho_s}{\rho_s} \cdot t_s\right)}
\]

where l is the count rate measured through the attenuating material, l0 is the count rate measured without the attenuating material, µ/ρ is the mass attenuation coefficient, x = ρ t is the mass-thickness or BMD value, ρ is density of attenuating material and t is thickness of attenuating material. In both equation b and s are referring to bone and soft tissue, respectively. The 60 and 122 keV are used in the experiments. Solving the system of equations for x, results in the following:

\[
x_i = \frac{ln(l_i/l_0)_{60} - ln(l_i/l_0)_{122}}{[ln(\frac{\mu_b \rho_b}{\rho_b} t_b)_{60} - ln(\frac{\mu_b \rho_b}{\rho_b} t_b)_{122}]}
\]

\[
x_i = \frac{ln(l_i/l_0)_{122} - ln(l_i/l_0)_{60}}{[ln(\frac{\mu_s \rho_s}{\rho_s} t_s)_{122} - ln(\frac{\mu_s \rho_s}{\rho_s} t_s)_{60}]}
\]

Table 1: Parameters of the samples used in theoretical and experimental determination of mass thickness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Thickness (cm)</th>
<th>Mass thickness (g/cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bone</td>
<td>CaHPO₄</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phantom</td>
<td>resin</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 presents the schematic of the setup used in our experiments. The 4 x 4 array of 3 mm x 3 mm x 8 mm SrI2:Eu2+ crystals encapsulated in an Al package sits on top the SiPM Array SB-4 from SensL. Using the output signal of SiPM processed by the preamplifier and a Pixie 16 Multi-Channel Analyzer (MCA), radiation spectra are acquired for each sample placed on top of the packaged scintillator. The two energies (241Am - 60 keV and 57Co - 122 keV) are attenuated differently by each sample. The spectrum obtained with a sample will provide data about count rate, l, at 60 keV and at 122 keV. A separate spectrum is acquired without any sample covering the detector which will serve as a measure of incoming count rate l0.
Using Eq 2, experimental value of BMD will be determined. Aluminum and Copper samples served as reference in terms of densities to validate the performance of the system. Since no soft tissue substituent is present Eq 1 and Eq 2 will be simplified as following:

\[(\frac{I}{I_0})_{60} = e^{-(\mu/\rho)_{60} x}\]

\[(\frac{I}{I_0})_{122} = e^{-(\mu/\rho)_{122} x}\]

(3)

\[x = \frac{\ln(\frac{I}{I_0})_{122} - \ln(\frac{I}{I_0})_{60}}{\ln(\mu/\rho)_{122} - \ln(\mu/\rho)_{60}}\]

(4)

**Results**

The experimental value of attenuation \(I/I_0\) caused by each material is compared with the theoretical values. Eq 1 or 3 were used to calculate theoretical attenuations. Values of mass attenuation coefficients \((\mu/\rho)\) are taken from NIST tables for each chemical element present in sample. Mass attenuation coefficients for the resin present in bone phantom were determined experimentally, due to lack of data about chemical content from its vendor. A resin only sample was prepared and \(I/I_0\) values were determined for each energy. Eq 3 is used to determine the mass attenuation coefficient for resin. Figure 3 presents a comparison between the measured attenuations \(I/I_0\) and their respective theoretical values. Experimental values for mass-thickness (BMD, for bone phantom) were calculated with the Eq 2 or 4 using \(I/I_0\) values previously calculated. Table 2 presents a comparison between the theoretical values of mass-thickness previously calculated and the experimental values determined using Eq 2.

**Figure 3:** Attenuation of each energy by
a. Bone phantom,
b. Al and
c. Cu samples.

**Table 2:** Comparison of theoretical and experimental values of mass-thickness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bone Phantom</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Difference</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Conclusion**

SrI\(_2\):Eu\(^{2+}\) crystal array coupled with a SiPM array is a viable alternative to CZT detectors used on commercially available DEXA systems for Bone Mineral Density measurements. Our prototype produced comparable or smaller errors between the theoretical and experimental values than results obtained with commercially available systems. Our research will continue focusing on obtaining images of different BMD bone phantoms.
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