



A Cross-Platform Challenge Behind the Role of Human Mesenchymal Stromal Cells in Organ Transplantation



Valeria Perugini*

School of Pharmacy and Biomolecular Sciences, UK

Received:  October 23, 2018; Published:  October 30, 2018

*Corresponding author: Valeria Perugini, School of Pharmacy and Biomolecular Sciences, UK

Abbreviations: PLC: Polycaprolactone; PEG: Polyethylene Glycol

Mini Review

Organ transplantation has become an essential treatment for saving and prolonging lives in a wide range of clinical disorders. It is a complex procedure and often convoluted by distinct issues related to clinical outcome and number of donors [1]. Recent attempts to overcome these problems have been demonstrated in the field of regenerative medicine [2]. Regenerative medicine is a branch of medicine involved in the development of methods for the regeneration and repair of tissues and organs damaged by age, diseases or congenital defects. It encompasses numerous strategies including the use of biomaterials, stem cells and bio-cues or any combinations thereof, to promote tissue healing [3]. In this context, human bone marrow stromal stem cells (MSC's) have proved to be an effective source for transplantation due to their capacity to self-renew and differentiate both in *in vitro* and *in vivo* while restraining concerns regarding immune response and ethical and legal administration [4]. MSC can be isolated from a wide range of foetal and adult tissues including bone marrow, placenta, umbilical cord, dental pulp, tendons adipose and etc. However, MSC's are scarce within tissues so that their use in clinical applications is strictly limited [5]. To date, the shortage of these cells is addressed by expanding MSC's by *in vitro* cell culture systems. Two dimensional (2D) plastic cell cultures have been the preferred methods for decades as relatively simple and highly reproducible.

After being isolated, cells are seeded on flat surfaces and easily controlled, analysed and manipulated. However, 2D cultures induce MSC's to lose their stemness and indeed their therapeutic potential in restoring tissues and organs. Structurally, 2D cultures fail to resemble the composition and structure of the native microenvironment or niche onto which MSC's reside [6]. The niche are specialised microenvironments that regulate stem

cell fate by providing essential cues in the form of cells, ECM and soluble factors [7]. Recently, these niche-based features have been recapitulated by 3D cultures which have conferred a high degree of clinical and biological relevance to *in vitro* models. Especially, those derived from MSC's offer the potential to recapitulate some of the complex aspects of tissue development and homeostasis while addressing fundamental questions about diseases and their progress. In traditional 2D monolayer culture, MSC's often decrease their replicative ability and differentiation potentials as the passage number increased.

In contrast, 3D cultures encourage MSC's to behave morphologically and functionally different. 3D techniques built on fundamentals of cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions whereby cells are often embedded in matrices or scaffolds and encouraged to proliferate and polarise according to the organ of origin. Collagen, chitosan, polycaprolactone (PLC), polyethylene glycol (PEG) are some examples of biomaterials that have greatly contributed to improve our understanding not only on MSC biology, but also to aid in developing new therapies for a large number of critical diseases.

However, the current 3D cultures still fail to resemble the complex vascular systems that support tissues for oxygenation, nutrients, and waste removal, leading multi-factorial disorders (e.g. diabetes) to be unsuccessfully studied and/or treated *in vivo* [8]. Progress in the study of stem cell technology has enabled the development of multicellular 3D cell cultures such as spheroids and organoids. Although they differ in both features and functions, these techniques employ standard tissue culture set up for the self-renewal and organisation of cells into specific multicellular tissue proxies. Once encapsulated within a biomaterial and/or in presence of suitable external cues, MSC's have shown capabilities to

form anatomical structures made by clusters of functionalised cells that displayed native phenotypic and morphological signatures [9]. Within organoids, in particular, the anti-inflammatory and angiogenesis properties as well as stemness and differentiation of MSC's have been found to be extremely enhanced after transplantation. Compared with spheroids, organoids are constructed from adult or pluripotent stem cells and yield systems that reflect the genomic makeup of a patient [10]. For instance, Ishida et al., by creating conditions that resembling intestinal injury, reprogrammed MSC's which in turn promoted the growth of colon organoids [11].

Moreover, combination of MSC's with epithelia and tooth germ led the development of new teeth which displayed normal structures and neuro-activities [12]. This is an obvious advantage as organoids provide stable systems amenable to extended cultivation and manipulation of MSC's while being more representative of *in vivo* physiology [13]. Given that, there are still key limitations that continue to conceal their clinical translation including their reproducibility, scalability and safety profile. It is believed that the introduction of more complex structures including vascular and immune systems and the presence of a defined microenvironment capable to regulate the spatiotemporal control of cell activities could lead this endeavour soon a reality. Organoid systems have already proven themselves to be a great tool for regenerative medicine, and their recent combination with innovative platforms such as nanomaterials has offered unmatched possibilities for creating complex and functional organs that more faithfully recapitulate the *in vivo* situation [14]. Therefore, the combined emergence of these new technologies raise hopes for the development of novel methods capable to meet the necessary MSC numbers required for organ transplantation and the time and cost limitations associated to their *in vitro* manipulation.

References

1. Grinyó JM (2013) Why is organ transplantation clinically important? Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 3(6): a014985.

2. Heidary Rouchi A, Mahdavi-Mazdeh M (2015) Regenerative Medicine in Organ and Tissue Transplantation: Shortly and Practically Achievable? Int J Organ Transplant Med 6(3): 93-98.
3. Mao AS, Mooney DJ (2015) Regenerative medicine: Current therapies and future directions. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 112(47): 14452-14459.
4. Hoogduijn MJ, Dor FJ (2013) Mesenchymal stem cells: are we ready for clinical application in transplantation and tissue regeneration? Front Immunol 4: 144.
5. Haarer J, Johnson CL, Soeder Y, Dahlke MH (2015) Caveats of mesenchymal stem cell therapy in solid organ transplantation. Transpl Int 28(1): 1-9.
6. McKee C, Chaudhry GR (2017) Advances and challenges in stem cell culture. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces 159: 62-77.
7. Morrison SJ, Spradling AC (2008) Stem cells and niches: mechanisms that promote stem cell maintenance throughout life. Cell 132(4): 598-611.
8. Bao M, Xie J, Huck WTS (2018) Recent Advances in Engineering the Stem Cell Microniche in 3D. Adv Sci (Weinh) 5(8): 1800448.
9. Genever PG (2010) The generation of three-dimensional tissue structures with mesenchymal stem cells. Altern Lab Anim S1: 31-34.
10. Petrenko Y, Syková E, Kubinová Š (2017) The therapeutic potential of three-dimensional multipotent mesenchymal stromal cell spheroids. Stem Cell Res Ther 8(1): 94.
11. Ishida R, Koyanagi-Aoi M, Oshima N, Kakeji Y, Aoi T (2017) The Tissue-Reconstructing Ability of Colon CSCs Is Enhanced by FK506 and Suppressed by GSK3 Inhibition. Mol Cancer Res 15(10): 1455-1466.
12. Niibe K, Zhang M, Nakazawa K, Morikawa S, Nakagawa T, et al. (2017) The potential of enriched mesenchymal stem cells with neural crest cell phenotypes as a cell source for regenerative dentistry. Jpn Dent Sci Rev 53(2): 25-33.
13. Gjorevski N, Ranga A, Lutolf MP (2014) Bioengineering approaches to guide stem cell-based organogenesis. Development 141(9): 1794-1804.
14. Schneeberger K, Spee B, Costa P, Sachs N, Clevers H, et al. (2017) Converging biofabrication and organoid technologies: the next frontier in hepatic and intestinal tissue engineering? Biofabrication 9(1): 013001.

ISSN: 2574-1241

DOI: 10.26717/BJSTR.2018.10.001977

Valeria Perugini. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

Submission Link: <https://biomedres.us/submit-manuscript.php>



Assets of Publishing with us

- Global archiving of articles
- Immediate, unrestricted online access
- Rigorous Peer Review Process
- Authors Retain Copyrights
- Unique DOI for all articles

<https://biomedres.us/>