Current Debate on Ethics in HTA

Why is ethics necessary in HTA? HTA represents a bridge between the scientific world (of research) and the political world (of decisions). When a decision must be made, we have to deal with questions that are not only qualitative (technical) but also quantitative (meaning and value). In technology, we can find answers regarding safety, effectiveness, efficiency and economic impact (quantitative questions), but not answers to ethical questions (qualitative questions). For example: Does the technology in question respect human's life? Does it improve the patient's quality of life? Is it accessible to everyone in the health system?

If ethics evaluation is central in a HTA, how can it be integrated in this process? According to some authors, this integration is not easy because of the epistemological difference between ethical domain and other domains of HTA [4]. In fact, an ethical analysis does not produce scientific evidence but uses the evidence reported by the other disciplines to highlight the moral issues and make an evaluation. Hofmann et al. identify four ways of integration:

a) Submission (ethics assessment is a subsidiary activity, a sub-project of an HTA);
b) Combination (ethics assessment is a separate activity);
c) Coordination (ethics assessment is a separate part or process in HTA, but its role and importance may vary depending on the context);
d) Interaction (ethics assessment influences, is influenced by, re-defines and is re-defined by other parts and elements of HTA) [5].
Other authors believe that it is not possible to separate ethics from a human choice. In fact, every free and voluntary act involves a moral question (licit / illicit, good / bad). As a result, ethics cannot be “integrated” into HTA already a constitutive part of HTA. However, ethics can be recognized and emphasized. The issue is not the expansion of HTA, to include ethics or integrate ethics into HTA, but to acknowledge that the use of any health technology will inevitably raise questions of meaning and value. HTA’s mission is to maximize population’s health and influence decision-making, taking into account and promoting equity and values and therefore also all the value judgments involved in the evaluations. Therefore, it becomes necessary define the ethical approach in HTA assessment. Unlike the other fields of an HTA process, which are based on standardized methods of analysis, the ethical analysis is influenced by the ethical choice and the method of analysis.

There are different methods, theories and positions that can be used to analyse ethical problems in HTA (e.g. Utilitarianism, Deontology, Casuistry, Principlism, Discourse Ethics, Wide Reflective Equilibrium). In addition to this, some ethics approaches, and methods have been developed and used especially for HTA (e.g. Socratic Approach; Social Shaping of Technology; Constructive Technology Assessment; Ethical Matrix; Interactive Health Technology Assessment). Furthermore, ethical analysis can be conducted in a descriptive or normative way. The descriptive way provides a list of ethical issues, which have to be identified, described and addressed (the most used modality); the normative way provides a moral judgment (e.g. the use of a technology is morally good/bad or ethically licit illicit). As a Proposal

Among normative models, our School uses the Triangular model. The Triangular model, also known as the “personalist model”, is rooted on the human person (body-soul unitotality) as reference-value in the reality, according the Aristotelian-Thomistic view. This approach includes factual, anthropological and ethical data in a “triangular” normative reflection process. The three steps of ethical process are:

a) Data collection (knowledge level): an in-depth study of factual data concerning the object of the analysis;

b) Ethical/anthropological analysis (justifying level) according to the following principles criteria:

i. The defense of human physical life;

ii. The therapeutic principle, according to which the human person has to be treated as a totality of body and soul;

iii. The interconnection between personal freedom and responsibility;

iv. The principles of sociality and subsidiarity, for which public/private bodies are called to help all persons, namely when they are not able to fulfil their needs;

c) Appraisal (normative) level, that should establish if implementing a certain technology is ethically licit/illicit, and, therefore, facilitate practical choices.
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