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Abstract

The advantage of the Evans, OA / ASIF and OTA classifications, compared to other classification systems, is to distinguish between pertrochanteric and intertrochanteric fractures. These are characterized by their epidemiological peculiarities, difficult reductions and by their rather high complication rates. The aim of the authors is to review the difficulties and complications encountered in a study of 14 cases in order to distinguish this entity, which is frequently considered to be a pertrochanteric fracture.
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Introduction

Most studies evaluate all trochanter fractures together as a single group (i.e., 31A1, 31A2 and 31A3 according to the AO classification). However, intertrochanteric fractures (31A3) constitute a different group, both in terms of biomechanics and anatomy. In pertrochanteric fractures (31A1 and 31A2), the main criterion of distinction is the fracture stability (Figure 1). This study emphasizes the importance of distinguishing between intertrochanteric fractures and other trochanteric fractures, which are difficult wounds and accompanied by a high rate of complications and require surgical treatment with gamma nail or better long-term gamma nail. AO, and DHS should only be used in exceptional cases (47-54)

Materials and Methods

The aim of this study is to evaluate the clinical and radiological results of intertrochanteric fractures (31A3) treated with conventional gamma nails. The prospective study focused on 14 patients with closed intertrochanteric fractures classified as AO 31A3 in the Traumatology-Orthopedics department of the Moulay Ismail Military Hospital in Meknes over a period of 5 years, between January 2012 and November 2016. The methods of study were based on the exploitation of medical records.

Inclusion Criteria Were

Closed intertrochanteric fracture classified as AO 31A3. The study is based on epidemiological, clinical, radiological, therapeutic and functional results analysis. The operation was performed on the orthopedic table in the supine position using Zimmer ancillary spine-anesthesia for all patients. Closed reduction of the fracture or percutaneous maneuvers was obtained and confirmed by image intensifier. The desired position of the cervical screw was in the posterior part of the central femoral neck on the profile and directed towards the center of the head on the frontal view, the point being located between 5 and 10mm from the subchondral bone and the distal locking is systematic by 5.5mm screw. The closure is done plan by plan. The loss of blood is considered minimal, no drain has been put in place for all patients. All postoperative complications were assessed. Walking with crutches without support was started the next day. The sutures were removed on the 15th day. Patients
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were evaluated clinically and radiologically at D21, D45, 3 months, 6 months, 12 months and 18 months. Functional assessment was performed after 6 months according to Harris Hip Score(11).

Results

The average age of our patients is 55.56 years and the male / female ratio is 78.57% with male predominance. 3 patients were followed for diabetes under oral antidiabetic and 2 for hypertension under treatment. There were 7 patients with 31A31 and 4 patients with type 31A32 and 3 patients with fracture type 31A33. 8 patients have a fracture on the right side and 6 have a fracture on the left side. The main etiology is the fall of a high place in 7 patients, 5 following an AVP and 2 following a fall in height. The time of installation and reduction maneuvers on the orthopedic table is 24min in average. From the incision to the closing of the skin, the operation lasted 52 minutes in average (from 35min to 1H40min). The amount of blood loss is estimated to average 500ml. The duration of hospitalization is 2.75 days (from 27 hours to 6 days) and the time before the operation is 1.7 days. The postoperative complications were high in our series compared to the series of trochanteric fractures of all types and are of 4 types: a complication of sepsis on material in a patient, 2 malunions in valgus and 1 shortening> 2cm, a fatigue rupture of nail and septic complication by superficial infection of the wound. Bone healing was achieved within 3 to 4 months with the exception of one case (septic nonunion treated with nail removal and antibiotic therapy). The functional result was considered excellent and good in 72.5%, average and bad in 27.5% of cases.

Discussion

Intertrochanteric fractures (31A3) are fractures where the fracture line passes below the base of the greater trochanter and above the top of the small trochanter. The proximal fragment is formed by the head and femoral neck and the greater trochanter, including the attachment of the lateral vastus muscle. This type of fracture is extra-capsular, so there is a minimal risk of osteonecrosis of the femoral head. Unlike pertocharteric fractures that are caused by the fall of the patient’s height, intertrochanteric fractures are marked by the violence of the trauma (AVPs and falls from a high place). The average age in our series is 55.5 years old, it’s a relatively young age. The majority of series (all trochanteric fractures combined) report a female predominance that ranges from 70 to 80% [1], which is not the case in our series. The treatment of intertrochanteric fracture by centromedullary implant or extramedullary implant has been the subject of discussion for years together. Kim et al. concluded in their study that unstable fractures with osteoporosis treated with extra-medullary implant had a failure rate of more than 50% and in such cases, dynamic hip screw should not be the first choice for treatment [2]. But currently, the discussion is about the length of the nail. Since the AO advocates a long gamma nail.

Whatever the mode of treatment used, it is difficult to obtain a satisfactory reduction with a closed technique, and it is sometimes necessary to carry out an open reduction because the middle and upper gluteal muscles are attached to the proximal fragment. the vast lateral muscle, and sometimes the iliopectas muscle. The average duration of the procedure was relatively long (52 min), it was 41 min for Kempf (for trochanteric fractures of all types) [3], and this, due to the technical difficulties encountered during the surgery. reduction. The percutaneous insertion of a Steinmann nail, mounted on a T-handle, and the open-focus reduction of the fragments through a short incision are useful techniques (27), in our series we confirm the difficulty of reduction for this type of fracture. Other complications may occur in the absence of distal locking, the diaphyseal fragment can turn around the nail and cause malrotation of the limb [4]. A serious mistake in the treatment of intertrochanteric fractures is to lock the nail with distraction between the two fragments. The consequence is delayed consolidation or non-union. For these reasons, Bartonícek prefers dynamic locking to treat intertrochanteric fractures (10) which was performed in patients of our series except for 1 and who had a pseudarthrosis. When the fracture is distractory napped, the increased bending forces acting on the implant at the fracture site cause fatigue failure of the nail through the hole of the cephalic screw (8-10, 55, 64, 82).

With DHS, the rupture of the lateral portion of the cephalic screw at the tip of the lateral plate gun has been described by Wolfgang et al. and by Jakobsen (84). Bartoní e k (116) noted 08 cases of necrosis of the femoral neck; 07 (87.5%) were intertrochanteric fractures, this complication was not encountered in our series. The precocity of the intervention is certainly a determining factor of the evolution of the patient except for medical indication [5]. In most series, the average response time is just over 48 hours [6-9]. In our series it is 1.7 days. The early rise with support depends on the fracture stability, the quality of the assembly and especially the quality of the patient’s bone structures (10). In the Kempf series [3] with 69% unstable fracture, 83.4% (any pertocharteric fracture confused) had early support. In our patients, support was prohibited during the 6 weeks following the surgical procedure in all patients. Consolidation was obtained in 13 patients of our series.

Conclusion

In intertrochanteric fractures, the use of gamma nail or even long gamma nail is recommended, although DHS is still used quite frequently but with many complications. The advantage of Evans, AO / ASIF and OTA classifications over other classification systems is to distinguish between two basic groups of trochanteric fractures (i.e. pertocharteric and intertrochanteric fractures) that have different characteristics and therapeutic needs. The main point in this study is to focus on the particularities of inter-trochanteric fractures, which are defined as unstable and difficult to reduce and fix at the same time.
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