Quality and Subjective Quantity of Sleep and its Impact on the Work Performance of Mental Health Professionals from a Public Institution in Western México
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Abstract

Sleep alterations constitute one of the biggest problems that affects life quality and overall performance of people, and have repercussions on low levels of work performance. The general objective of this study was to describe the relation between sleep quality and quantity and the impact on work performance of mental health professionals from Centro Asistencial San Juan de Dios in the state of Jalisco, México. The study had a descriptive, observational, cross-sectional design, which evaluated a total of 26 mental health professionals with Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) and an Ad hoc questionnaire about the perception over their work performance. The quality and quantity of sleep was not significantly associated with job performance (p=0.474). They also were not associated with errors or accidents at work (p=0.655). The variables sex, age, marital status, occupation (psychologist/psychiatrist), shift, and type of contract, were not associated with total sleep quality.
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Introduction

Sleep is the fundamental way to recover from our daily wear and tear [1], and it is an essential need for survival. According to [2], sleep disturbances are a major problem that affects the quality of life of a significant percentage of the population, generating mainly: fatigue, worsening of work performance and accidents, immunosuppression, memory difficulties, reduced capacity of adaptation, deficit of attention and concentration, irritability, anxiety and depression [3]. The quality of sleep is defined as the fact of “sleeping well at night and having a good functioning during the day” [4], and is associated with sleeping hours and the repercussions that they have on wakefulness [5]. Poor quality of sleep is associated with fatigue, cognitive deterioration and the greatest number of work accidents [6]. In relation to the amount of sleep, people need different amounts of sleep to feel alert. This amount varies in each person and is determined by factors of the organism, the environment and behavior [5]. On average, people should sleep for seven to eight hours (intermediate pattern), less than that period of time is considered as a short pattern of sleep (≤6 hours), or long (≥9 hours). The lack or excess of sufficient sleep causes a “sleep debt” that is cumulative, which directly affects the academic and work performance of the people, which causes, according to [7], a chronic fatigue, negatively affecting the productivity of people at work [8]. Also, physicians, psychologists, nurses or assistants that exceed the 48 hours of work per week recommended by the regulations of health professionals, have an altered sleep cycle. In fact, some studies conducted in Europe establish that, those health professionals who work over 70 hours have higher risks of accidents and diseases [9].

Material and Methods

The general objective of this study was to describe the relation between sleep quality and quantity and the impact on work performance of mental health professionals from Centro Asistencial San Juan de Dios in the state of Jalisco, México. The study had a descriptive, observational, cross-sectional design, which evaluated a total of 26 mental health professionals with Pittsburg Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) and an Ad hoc questionnaire about the perception over their work performance. The quality and quantity of sleep was not significantly associated with job performance (p=0.474). They also were not associated with errors or accidents at work (p=0.655). The variables sex, age, marital status, occupation (psychologist/psychiatrist), shift, and type of contract, were not associated with total sleep quality.
performance (p = 0.474). They also were not associated with errors or accidents at work (p = 0.655). The variables sex, age, marital status, occupation (psychologist/psychiatrist), shift, and type of contract, were not associated with total sleep quality. This study had a descriptive, observational, cross-sectional design approved by the Research Committee of the San Juan de Dios Health Center in the State of Jalisco, Mexico.

The sample of the study was chosen by a convenience sampling from where a total of 26 Mental Health professionals were selected, under the following inclusion criteria: Psychologists and psychiatrists of both sexes of the San Juan de Dios Health Center, who have signed the informed consent and completed the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) of [10], and an ad hoc semistructured self-created questionnaire, self-applicable with 37 items that evaluated the perception of Mental Health professionals about their work performance. In the non-inclusion criteria, those who did not wish to participate voluntarily in the study were considered. It was decided to exclude those who for personal reasons decided to leave the study, and those who had not completed the PSQI and the ad hoc questionnaire. The PSQI analyzed different factors of sleep quality, grouped into seven components: quality, latency, duration, efficiency and sleep disturbances, use of sleep medication and diurnal dysfunction. Each component is scored from 0 to 3 [11].

From the sum of the seven components, the total PSQI score was obtained, which ranged from 0 to 21 points (the higher the score, the worse the quality of sleep). The internal consistency with Cronbach's alpha of this test is high for the 19 items, as well as for the seven components (Cronbach’s alpha of 0.81). The predictive validity data have yielded the following results: using a cut-off point of 5 (score ≥ 5 defines bad sleepers), sensitivity was 89.6% and specificity 86.5% [12]. The analysis of the data was processed in the SPSS 20 statistical program. To analyze the possible association between the variables, the hypothesis test Chi square of Pearson and Fisher (x²) was used for categorical data and a “p ≤ 0.05” was considered significant. The participants were classified as good or bad sleepers based on the score obtained from the PSQI and the ad hoc questionnaire. The PSQI analyzed different factors of sleep quality, grouped into seven components: quality, latency, duration, efficiency and sleep disturbances, use of sleep medication and diurnal dysfunction. Each component is scored from 0 to 3 [11].

Results

The Mental Health professionals studied were a total of 26, of whom 14 were men (54%) and 12 women (46%). The mean age was 31 ± 8 years (24-61 years). 61.5% were single, 23.1% married, 3.8% were separated and 11.5% were living together. Of the total evaluated 7 were psychologists (27%) and 19 psychiatrists (73%), of which 21 belonged to the morning shift (80%), 1 to the evening shift (4%) and 2 to the night shift and accumulated day respectively (16%); 9 had a temporary contract (34%) and 17 were basic shift (4%) and 2 to the night shift and accumulated day respectively (16%); 9 had a temporary contract (34%) and 17 were basic shift (4%) and 2 to the night shift and accumulated day respectively (16%); 9 had a temporary contract (34%) and 17 were basic shift (4%) and 2 to the night shift and accumulated day respectively (16%).

The work because of fatigue and of these, 53.8% (6 people) mentioned committing them occasionally (2 to 3 times a month). However, no association was found between the perception of sleep insufficiency and the errors made at work (p = 0.655) or work performance (p = 0.474). The consumption of natural substances (coffee or tea) and the strategy of restful sleep were two of the most frequent activities in the sample to stay active during the day. 50% used substances to feel alert during the day three or more times a week. The most used were coffee, and tea. 92% had restful dreams during the day. The average number of minutes of rest was 54.2 ± 39 minutes (0-120 minutes). Restful sleep was not associated with the improvement of work performance (p = 0.151) or with errors at work (p = 0.492). Only 38.5% reported complications to fall asleep. The average time to fall asleep was 23.9 ± 22.02 minutes (0-90 minutes). As for the PSQI, the average total score was 6.96 ± 2.93 (2-13 points). The subjective quality of sleep was quite good in 7.7% of health professionals, good in 65.4%, poor in 23.1% and very poor in 3.8%. Regarding sleep latency, 57.6% had a good latency (≤15 minutes), 23% had a slight alteration (16-30 minutes), 15.3% had a bad latency (31-60 minutes) and 3.8% a very bad latency (≥60 minutes).

73% reported having this alteration at least once a week. No association was found between the difficulty of falling asleep and the mistakes made at work (p = 0.588). In relation to the duration of sleep, 7.6% had a good duration (≥7 hours), 57.6% a slight alteration (7-6 hours), 34.6% a bad duration (5-6 hours), hours. In terms of sleep efficiency, the average was 6 hours. There was no significant alteration of sleep in the sample since the most prevalent responses were: less than one a week and no time a month. The 80.7% of the sample scored in the PSQI as bad sleepers, without differences between sex, marital status, profession, working hours, and type of contract. The total results on good and bad sleepers that scored in the PSQI was 86.5% [12]. The analysis of the data was processed in the SPSS 20 statistical program. To analyze the possible association between the variables, the hypothesis test Chi square of Pearson and Fisher (x²) was used for categorical data and a “p ≤ 0.05” was considered significant. The participants were classified as good or bad sleepers based on the score obtained from the PSQI and the ad hoc questionnaire. The PSQI analyzed different factors of sleep quality, grouped into seven components: quality, latency, duration, efficiency and sleep disturbances, use of sleep medication and diurnal dysfunction. Each component is scored from 0 to 3 [11].

Discussion

The short sleep pattern (≤6 hours) of the majority of the sample coincides with the perception of sleep insufficiency, a relationship that was close to being significant (x² = 3.44, p = 0.06). This explains that there is awareness of the bad sleep habits that prevail in the daily occurrence of the participants. Some studies, such as that of have correlated poor sleep quality with a higher incidence of accidents cited in [13], also point out in their meta-analysis research on 27 observational studies, that the poor quality of sleep and a short time of sleep among other variables, increase the risk of work accidents up to 62%. However, other studies such as cited in state that there are no significant differences between the quality of sleep and the risk of having a work accident. In the present...
study, although 92% of participants thought that the few hours of rest have a negative influence on their work performance, but only 42.3% committed errors at work due to fatigue. Though, no association was found between the perception of sleep sufficiency and the errors made in work or work performance (p = 0.655).

Therefore, these results coincide more with those of on the other hand, the high number of bad sleepers described in this study exceeds the data found by [5] in 675 medical students where 64.5% on average were classified as bad sleepers. This states the vulnerability to sleep disorders suffered by psychologists and psychiatrists. However, the mean total score was 6.96 ± 2.93 (2-13 points). Data lower than the index of subjects participating in a study with rotating night shift workers who obtained a global PSQI sleep index of 8.09 [7] which are described in the medical literature like the worst sleepers (who work on night shifts and rotating schedules). Regarding to the bad sleepers in the sample, no differences were found in the variables: sex, marital status, profession, working day and type of contract; a situation coinciding with that reported by [13], a study in which variables did not represent a significant incidence. The only variable in which it was referred was that of sex, in which the authors mention that women score higher on the full scale than in comparison with men. In conclusion, 80.7% (21 people) were classified as bad sleepers. The quality and quantity of sleep was not significantly associated with work performance (p = 0.474).

The perception of these variables was also not associated with errors or accidents at work (p = 0.655). The variables sex, age, marital status, profession (psychologist / psychiatrist), shift, and type of contract were not associated with the quality of the total sleep. The population of mental health professionals may have a high prevalence of sleep disturbances in the quality and perceived amount of sleep, as shown by the data obtained in this study. However, there is not enough research to account for this phenomenon. The majority of studies that are available in the medical literature describe what happens with medical students, nurses and doctors of other specialties who rotate shifts and make superior guards at 48 hours. One of the perspectives on this topic is to carry out more studies with other types of designs which correlate these variables with others that were not considered in the present due to time constraints, the scope of the design and the small number of participants in the design, the sample that was available.
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