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**Abstract**

**Objectives:** One of the most common treatment modalities for patients with a diagnosis of reduced disc displacement is occlusal splinting. Stabilization splints (SS) and anterior repositioning splints (ARS) are frequently used in such cases.

**Materials and Methods:** The effects of these two splint types on patient satisfaction were evaluated in patients with temporomandibular disorder (TMD). 25 healthy people with no pathology of the temporomandibular joint were included as a control group. ARS’s were used in 18 patients; all patients used the splints for 2 months. The splint was then converted into a stabilization splint for use for 1 further month. In the other 32 patients, a SS was used for 1 month. All the patients filled out the same temporomandibular questionnaire (TQ) again after the ARS was converted into a SS and had been used for 1 month.

**Results:** The use of both types of splint significantly affected scores on the TQ (p < 0.05). There was a significant difference in questionnaire results among the control group, SS group, and ARS group before treatment (p < 0.05). There was no statistically significant difference in questionnaire results among the three groups after treatment (p > 0.05).

**Conclusion:** These results indicate that the use of both ARS and SS significantly increased satisfaction among patients with TMD.
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**Introduction**

The temporomandibular joint plays an important role in the basic functions of the stomatognathic system. It is a morphologically slipping axis compound joint that makes a hinge and slip movement and varies morphologically among individuals, and between left- and right-sided joints [1,2]. Temporomandibular disorder (TMD) is a clinical condition characterized by pain, emission of a sound (clicking or crepitation), and irregular movements in the jaw joint; it is one of the most difficult-to-treat causes of maxillofacial pain [2]. There may be unilateral or bilateral irregularity in the temporomandibular joint [3,4]. Certain problems in the temporomandibular joint and neuromuscular system constitute TMD [5,6]. The purpose of treating TMD is to correct etiologic and pathogenic abnormalities, relieve disease symptoms, restore joint mobility, and achieve postural healing [6,7]. TMD is not treated by a single method. Conservative non-surgical treatment options are recommended for initial treatment [5,8]. Commonly used physiotherapy treatment options include an occlusal splint, low-dose laser therapy, physical exercises, and drug therapy to relieve inflammation and pain and stimulate tissue healing [9-12].

One method used to treat dysfunction of the temporomandibular joint and muscles of the chewing system is occlusal splint therapy [13,14]. The aim of occlusal splint therapy is to achieve neuromuscular balance in the chewing system and reduce the damage caused by parafunctional habits [13,15]. Because this therapy is reversible and noninvasive, its use as an initial treatment is recommended. When the occlusal splint is designed according to the etiology of a particular joint disease, symptoms may be reduced [11,16, 17]. Occlusal splints commonly used in the treatment of TMD include stabilization splints and anterior repositioning splints [16]. Stabilization splints are usually preferred for patients...
with muscle hyperactivity; thus, they can be used in patients with bruxism [15,16]. Other candidate patients are those with local sensitization or chronic myalgia [10]. In addition, this splint can be used to treat patients with retro discitis, which forms after trauma, to reduce the force on the damaged tissue and confer more effective healing [13, 16]. Stabilization splints are also used in patients with condylar disc irregularities [11,16].

With use of anterior repositioning splints, highly effective results can be obtained, especially in disc irregularities [15,18]. In cases of condylar disc irregularity, stabilization can be achieved with an anterior repositioning splint if the stabilization splint proves ineffective [18,19]. The anterior repositioning splint positions the disc and mandible at the anterior region and ensures a better disc-condyle relationship, thus allowing retrodisclacal tissues to adapt. It also allows regeneration of retrodisclacal tissues and discrete ligaments and alleviates pain by reducing the load on the joint [18]. In this study, the effects of stabilization and anterior repositioning splints on the healing of patients with TMD were evaluated using a questionnaire survey.

The null hypothesis of this study was;

a) There was not any difference between the questionnaire scores of the groups before and after treatment

b) There was not any difference between the treatment efficiency of anterior repositioning splint and stabilization splint

**Material and Method**

In total, 50 patients participated in this study; 32 received a stabilization splint and 18 received an anterior repositioning splint. A control group of 25 individuals without TMJ was also recruited. The selection criteria for the control group were as follows:

a) No temporomandibular joint complaints;

b) Good oral hygiene;

c) No psychological disorders;

d) No dental loss; and

e) No restorations in the mouth and a Class 1 occlusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temporomandibular joint questionnaire</th>
<th>Please mark only one box for each question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1) How would you describe the pain that is generally present in your temporomandibular joint? | a) No pain  
b) Very mild  
c) Light  
d) Mild  
e) Severe |
| 2) Do you have any problems with biting into food? | a) No problem  
b) Few problems  
c) Moderate difficulty  
d) It is extremely hard  
e) It is impossible |
| 3) Do you have any problems with chewing food? | a) No problem  
b) Few problems  
c) Moderate difficulty  
d) It is extremely hard  
e) It is impossible |
| 4) Do you have any problems with sleeping? | a) No problem  
b) Few problems  
c) Moderate difficulty  
d) It is extremely hard  
e) I cannot sleep |
5) How often do you have headache?
   a) Never
   b) Once a week
   c) Several times a week
   d) Almost every day
   e) Always

6) How often do you experience pain in your ear?
   a) Never
   b) Once a week
   c) Several times a week
   d) Almost every day
   e) Always

7) How often do you feel pain, stiffness, or fatigue in your temporomandibular joint in the mornings?
   a) Never
   b) Once a week
   c) Several times a week
   d) Almost every day
   e) Always

8) How much does pain caused by your temporomandibular joint interfere with your usual work (can also include housework)?
   a) Not at all
   b) A little
   c) To a moderate degree
   d) To a large degree
   e) To an extremely large degree

9) When you open your mouth, is any sound emitted from your temporomandibular joint?
   a) No
   b) Rarely
   c) Sometimes
   d) Much of the time
   e) All the time

10) Do you experience a sensation of decreased mouth opening?
    a) No
    b) Rarely
    c) Sometimes
    d) Much of the time
    e) I cannot open my mouth at all; it gets locked

Members of the control group completed the questionnaire in Table 1 and the members of the control group has not received any splint therapy. The participants of the other groups were selected from among patients who applied to the Faculty of Dentistry of Erciyes University (Kayseri, Turkey) because of problems in their temporomandibular joint, including the presence of a clicking noise, complaints of pain, bruxism, limited mouth opening, or muscular hypertrophy. Detailed joint examinations were performed by one prosthodontist. The patient groups in our study were constituted using patients included in the RDC (reduction disc displacement)/TMD Group IIa [19]. To select the patients, a diagnostic algorithm was developed that requires separate examination of the right and left joints [20]. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Erciyes University Clinical Research Ethics Committee (Decision No: 2016/4). All participating patients were informed of their inclusion in the study, and all signed consent forms.

A stabilization splint at the temporomandibular joint was randomly applied to 32 patients diagnosed with reduced disc displacement. The splint was used constantly for 1 month except
A bite registration material (Colorbite D; Zhermack) was used to obtain an impression of the patient’s lower jaw with the mouth closed in the teeth to teeth position. Hard Essix plaque (Dispodent) was applied to the upper jaw of all patients, and occlusal splints were fabricated. The face-bow transfer procedure was applied, and the upper jaw model of each patient was transferred to the articulator (SAM SE Articulator Kit NT ART 616MK, München-Germany). The lower jaw models were transferred to the articulator using bite registration, and the lower jaw was positioned at the front. Transparent cold acrylic (Imicryl) was applied on top of the occlusal splint, and the articulator was closed carefully. After the acrylic had hardened, premature contact during lateral and protrusive movements were eliminated. Finally, polish was applied, and the patient was instructed regarding correct use of the splint. The fabrication of the stabilization and anterior repositioning splints, as well as observation and follow-up of patients, were performed by a single dentist in the Prosthodontology Department. Both types of splint were worn for 24 hours, except during eating and tooth brushing. The data obtained from the questionnaires were evaluated statistically.

**Statistical Analysis**

The normality of the distribution of the data was evaluated using histograms, q-q graphs, and the Shapiro-Wilk test. Differences in categorical variables between groups were assessed by Pearson chi-square. The Wilcoxon test was used to compare the questionnaire scores before and after treatment. For intergroup comparisons of quantitative variables, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Kruskal-Wallis test were used. Multiple comparisons were performed using the Tukey and Dunn-Bonferroni tests. The data analysis was done using R software (ver. 3.4.0; R Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria). A p-value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

a) Table 2 shows the questionnaire data of the stabilization splint, anterior repositioning splint, and control groups by gender. According to the results gender did not have a significant effect (p > 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>anterior repositioning splint</th>
<th>stabilization splint</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>15 (%83.3)</td>
<td>26 (%81.2)</td>
<td>17 (%68)</td>
<td>0.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3 (%16.7)</td>
<td>6 (%18.8)</td>
<td>8 (%32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) Table 3 shows the questionnaire data of the study groups before and after treatment. For all questionnaire items, the pre-intervention scores were higher than the post-intervention scores (all p < 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Before treatment</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>After treatment</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Questions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>3 (2-4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 (0-2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>2 (1-3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 (0-1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** The questionnaire data results of the groups by gender

**Table 3:** The questionnaire data of the groups before and after treatment
c) Table 4 shows the pre- and post- intervention questionnaire scores of the patients fitted with an anterior repositioning splint. According to the results, scores were higher on all items, except Question 4, at pre-intervention (p < 0.05).

**Table 4:** The pre and post-intervention questionnaire scores of the patients fitted with an anterior repositioning splint.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Anterior repositioning splint</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Questions</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>After</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>2(0-3,25)</td>
<td>0(0-0,25)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>1,5(1-2,25)</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>2(1-2)</td>
<td>0(0-0,25)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>0.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>1(0-2)</td>
<td>0(0-1)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6</td>
<td>0(0-01)</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7</td>
<td>2(0-3)</td>
<td>0(0-1)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8</td>
<td>0(0-1,25)</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9</td>
<td>3(2-4)</td>
<td>1(0-2,25)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q10</td>
<td>2(0-3)</td>
<td>0(0-1)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d) According to the pre- and post- intervention questionnaire scores of the patients fitted with a stabilization splint, a statistically significant difference was observed before and after the treatment (p < 0.05, Table 5).

**Table 5:** The pre and post-intervention questionnaire scores of the patients fitted with a stabilization splint.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Stabilization splint</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Questions</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>After</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>3(2,25-4)</td>
<td>1(0-3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>2(0,25-3)</td>
<td>0(0-1,75)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>2(0-2,75)</td>
<td>0(0-1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>0(0-2)</td>
<td>0(0-0)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>2(1-3)</td>
<td>1(0-1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6</td>
<td>1(0-3)</td>
<td>0(0-1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7</td>
<td>3(0,25-3,75)</td>
<td>1(0-2)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8</td>
<td>1(0-2,75)</td>
<td>0(0-1)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9</td>
<td>3(0-4)</td>
<td>1(0-3,75)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q10</td>
<td>2(0-3)</td>
<td>0(0-2,75)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

e) Age did not have a significant effect upon the questionnaire data of the stabilization splint, anterior repositioning splint, and control groups (p > 0.05, Table 6).
Table 6: The questionnaire data of the groups by age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anterior repositioning splint</td>
<td>Stabilization splint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>27.72±16.30</td>
<td>31.46±9.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Results of the pre- and post-treatment surveys for the groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1a-Q1b</td>
<td>1.5(0-3)*</td>
<td>1(0-3)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2a-Q2b</td>
<td>1(0.75-2)*</td>
<td>1(0-2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3a-Q3b</td>
<td>1(0.75-2)*</td>
<td>1(0-2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4a-Q4b</td>
<td>0(0-0)*</td>
<td>0(0-2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5a-Q5b</td>
<td>0(0-1)*</td>
<td>1(0-1)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6a-Q6b</td>
<td>0(0-1)*</td>
<td>1(0-2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q7a-Q7b</td>
<td>1(0-2-2.5)*</td>
<td>5(0-3)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q8a-Q8b</td>
<td>0(0-1)*</td>
<td>0(0-1)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9a-Q9b</td>
<td>2(0-3)*</td>
<td>0(0-2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q10a-Q10b</td>
<td>1(0-2-2.5)*</td>
<td>0(0-2)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Identical letters in the same row indicate similar scores between groups; different letters represent differences between groups.

Discussion

In studies on the epidemiology of temporomandibular joint irregularities, symptoms have been reported to be most prevalent in the age range of 20 to 40 years, consistent with the findings of our study [20,21]. In children and adolescents, symptoms are more obvious [23]. In adults older than 60 years, temporomandibular joint symptoms are rarely encountered [24]. TMD is the most common joint disease among younger individuals [28-30]. In still other studies, in terms of the gender ratio, TMD was again more common in women and the female/male ratio ranged between 2/1 and 9/1 [28-31].

Irrespective of the magnetic resonance (MR) findings, splint therapy outcomes were superior in patients with a significant organic defect, such as unilateral anterior disc displacement or joint effusion [34]. In a study by Devi, three different splint types (anterior repositioning splint, stabilization splint, and soft splint) were applied randomly to 30 discs displacement patients aged from 18 to 55 years [35]. The anterior repositioning splint was considered the conventional treatment option [35]. Whether there was a significant difference between visual analog scale pain score values before and after treatment of three groups, there was not any statistically significant difference between visual analog scale pain score of anterior repositioning splint and stabilization splint groups [35]. The stabilization splint group showed a more obvious improvement at follow-up visits versus the soft splint group [35].
For this reason, it is proposed that treatment be initiated with a stabilization splint in patients with reduction disc displacement to achieve more rapid and effective results with minimal side effects [35].

Similar to the findings of Devi et al., in our study; there was not any statistically significant difference between anterior repositioning splint and stabilization splint groups in terms of treatment efficiency (p>0.05). Consequently, the second hypothesis of the study was accepted. A study by Santacatterina et al. showed that the effectiveness of anterior repositioning splints, against clicking sounds and pain in the joint region, was significantly higher than that of bite plane among patients with reduction disc displacement [36]. Lundh treated TMD patients with reciprocal clicking symptoms using anterior repositioning splints [37]. The results were compared to those of a control group that received no treatment and a group that received flat occlusal splints [37]. Joint sensitivity was decreased in those using the flat occlusal splint, but there was no change in clicking sounds or muscle sensitivity [37]. Clicking sounds persisted in the control group, and an increase in muscle sensitivity was also observed [37]. During rest and protrusion, there was a decrease in joint pain during chewing with use of anterior repositioning splints [37].

Reciprocal clicking was eliminated and sensitivity to palpation in the joint and muscle region decreased [37]. However, these positive effects persisted only for a short period of time [37]. After 6 weeks of splinting, all symptoms (pain, clicking sound, and tenderness) had returned [37]. Therefore, in patients with TMD accompanied by reciprocal clicking, treatment with anterior repositioning splint yielded successful results [37]. However, because symptoms return when splint use is discontinued, it has been suggested that the mandibular position should be constantly changed [37]. In a study by Anderson et al., orthopedic mandibular repositioning and straight occlusal splint therapy were compared in 20 patients with internal TMD reduction [38]. The mandibular repositioning splint significantly improved the dysfunction and muscle pain associated with TMD, and eliminated reciprocal clicking [38]. Straight occlusal splinting has been shown to not significantly affect the degree of dysfunction in TMD [38]. It has been seen in the literature that in the TMj internal disorders, application of anterior repositioning splint and stabilization splint had positive effects. The results of our study also showed that the use of anterior repositioning splint and stabilization splint had positive effects on internal TMJ disease and there was no statistically significant difference in treatment efficacy between these two splints.

As indicated by Lundh et al., in the treatment of TMJ disorders with anterior repositioning splint, the symptoms may return when the mandible can be brought back to its former position after therapy [37]. As the results of our study did not differ between the treatment efficacy of the two splints, and as noted by Lundh et al. [37], the use of a stabilization splint in TMJ internal disorders may be more appropriate due to possible symptom recurrence of the anterior repositioning splint. The limitations of this study were the small numbers of patients included and the inability to evaluate whether symptoms returned in the long-term after treatment.

Conclusion

However, within these constraints, it can be stated that the use of both anterior repositioning splints and stabilization splints significantly increased the satisfaction of TMD patients.
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