Computed Tomography - How “GOLDEN” is this “GOLD STANDARD”?
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CT Scan (Computed Tomography) is the accepted “Gold Standard” for diagnosis, treatment planning as well as follow ups of almost all bodily cancers. We, as oncologists, are so much engrossed in prescribing CT’s that we fail to ponder upon the “radiation dose” conferred by each scan to our patient. To contemplate, with each scan, a patient receives anything between 18-34mGy of radiation [1,2]. This ionizing radiation in itself is associated with its own health hazards and even considered responsible for the development of future cancers [1,2]. Berrington et al. [2] in their study of CT Scans performed in the US, in one year, projected that nearly 30,000 future cancers were likely to occur, due to CT Scans done in one year, with greater than 50% contribution from Abdomino-Pelvic CT Scans [2]. The exact risk to young adults of repeated CT scans is not known, but evidence suggests an increasing risk of hematologic cancers from repeated scans. Much of the data we have regarding radiation-induced cancers has been sourced from studies of the survivors of atomic bombs/nuclear accidents.

These studies have shown an increase in cancers in those who received doses as low as 150mSv [2-5]. As per current knowledge the Health Physics Society estimates doses from natural background radiation in the United States to average about 3 mGy/year. A dose of 50 mg will be accumulated in the first 17 years of life and 0.25 Gy in a lifetime of 80 years [5]. Hence, to minimize this radiation exposure, without compromising the quality, we could build the novel concept of “Low dose CT Scan” from the urological fraternity. Studies have been done using different machine set-ups to reduce the radiation exposure to all patients in general and ureteric calculi patients without compromising on the sensitivity and specificity of the scan [6-8] and all had sensitivities as well as specificities above 95% C.I. for detection of Ureteric calculi, with their respective low-dose protocols [3-4].

Drake et al. [3] in a recent review in 2014, have enunciated that low-dose CT is safe, sensitive as well as specific for patients with loin pain, significantly lowers ionizing radiation, and is now a Grade A recommendation in suspected renal colic. Moore et al. [4] in their prospective observational study have also seconded these findings. Thus, if the sensitivity and specificity of low-dose CT matches the standard-dose CT, then the low-dose scans can be used in clinical practice for cancer patients as well. Herein lies the need to bridge this gap in our knowledge and to sensitize the entire medical fraternity towards the long-term hazards of our practice.

As is rightly said, “Prevention is better than Cure”.
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