Osteosarcopenia and Liver Transplantation: More than Sarcopenia and Osteopenia Alone
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Abstract

Liver transplantation (LT) is critical treatment option in end stage liver disease. After LT osteoporosis and sarcopenia were increasingly reported complication. Both conditions were like two side of coins so current study started to focus on osteo-sarcopeni to better understand complications related these two conditions. However, methodology of studies on sarcopeni in LT limited to create perspective for osteo-sarcopeni Aim of present review is focusing on the literature on osteoporoses management in LT, determining potential limitations of sarcopenia studies in LT and highlighting link between osteoporosis and sarcopenia to create further perspective for studies in LT.

Abbreviations: LT: Liver Transplantation; EWGSOP: European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People; IEGS: International Working Group on Sarcopenia; HU: Hounsfield Units

Introduction

Liver Transplantation (LT) is an important treatment option for the end stage liver disease. The quality of life for many patients is good following LT however, a number of long term complications have been described. Osteoporosis, characterized by low bone density and disrupted bone architecture leading to fractures, is the most common complications after LT and also compelling complication of may result in significant morbidity and mortality [1-3]. Since the first introduced by Rosenberg in 1989 [4], sarcopenia has been increasingly studied in geriatric population for two decides and in last decide, several studies reported potential unfavorable effects in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cancer, heart failure, and end-stage kidney and LT. Lack of widely accepted definition of sarcopeni for use in research and clinical practice emerged consensus report.

First consensus report was proposed by European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP) in [5]. After, consensus reports of the International Working Group on Sarcopenia (IWGS) [6]. Society on Sarcopenia, Cecelia and Wasting Disorders Trials’ Workshop [7]. Were published in 2011 and the Asian working group for sarcopenia were published in 2014 [8]. All these consensus reports highlighted importance of muscle function determination beside muscle mass measurement for sarcopenia, then after definition of sarcopenia were proposed as reduction in muscle function (muscle performance or power) and muscle mass. Although, often appreciated by clinicians in LT, sarcopenia is rarely formally diagnosed.

In these studies prevalence of sarcopenia was referred between 25 and 40, in respect to methodology to determine muscle mass [9]. Despite the non-linear relationship between muscle mass and function, most studies on sarcopenia in LT solely focused on muscle mass assessment for defining sarcopenia. However, validity and reproducibility of these muscle mass measurement results are limited in respect to muscle measurement modalities. Aim of present review is focusing on the literature on osteoporoses management in LT, determining potential limitations of sarcopenia studies in LT and highlighting link between osteoporosis and sarcopenia to create further perspective for studies in LT.

LT and Osteoporosis

Following transplantation bone mass is rapidly lost during the postoperative months. The progression of bone lose is prominent in the first six months and usually occurred in spine and the proximal femur [10,11]. Fracture risk is also highest in the first six months and most fractures occurred at the site of trabecular bone, with the spine and ribs are the most common sites [12]. Prevalence of osteoporosis in end stage liver disease varies between 12 and
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Prevention and Management of Post-Transplantation hypogonadism which is often associated with chronic liver disease with time in the post-transplantation stage [27]. In addition, lose and thus may contribute to emerging of osteoporosis following D insufficiency lead to secondary hyperparathyroidism and bone is commonly associated with chronic liver disease [24,25]. Vitamin D insufficiency post-transplantation bone loses is not clear. Vitamin D insufficiency and secondary hyperparathyroidism should be corrected. 1500 mg of elemental calcium and 800 IU of vitamin D daily are recommended for all patients. The use of medications which have negative effect on the bone metabolism should also be evaluated and minimized to possible dosages.

Management of Post-Transplantation Osteoporosis

As the rates of osteoporosis and fracture risk are highest in the first months of post-transplant stages, preventive and therapeutic measures should be performed immediately after transplantation without delay. The doses of immunosuppressive drugs especially the glucocorticoids should be minimized to possible dosages. Bisphosphonates are the main drugs of the osteoporosis treatment and act by inhibiting osteoclast-mediated bone resorption and could have beneficial effect on the increased bone turnover which is seen in the first few months after LT. However, there are conflicting results about the efficacy of bisphosphonates in preventing bone lose and fracture risk after LT. Several studies reported a beneficial effect [28,29]. However other few studies reported limited or no effect of bisphosphonates use [30,31]. In preventing bone lose and fracture risk.

In a meta-analysis of Kasturi et al., it was showed that bisphosphonates therapy reduced the accelerated bone loss and improved bone mineral density in the first year of transplantation [32]. In this meta-analysis, there was a significant increased mean bone mineral density of 0.03 g/cm2 in bisphosphonates group according to the control group at the lumbar spine one year after LT. However, at the femoral neck there was no significant bone mineral density increase. Additionally, in several studies, alendronate [33]. Pamidronate [34] and zolendronic acid [35] were found to be effective in preventing bone lose. Teriparatide also is an effective drug for the treatment of osteoporosis however; there is no study which shows the effect of this drug on the bone loss after LT.

Evaluation of Sarcopenia in LT Trials

The tool that is currently considered the gold standard method of body composition which are the 4-compartment (4-C) model, magnetic resonance imaging and total body computed tomography scans have not been used to measure muscle mass in these trials. In the sarcopenia assessment for patients undergoing LDLT, several studies used single slice CT analysis in abdominal CT images [36-45]. While one studies used a direct segmental multifrequency bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) [46]. To determine muscle mass (Table 1). In sarcopenia trials based on single slice CT analysis of muscle mass area, one study reported dorsal muscle group area
at the twelve thoracic vertebral level, one study reported psoas muscle area at the umbilical level, two study reported the total skeletal muscle area at the level of the third lumbar vertebral level, one study reported the total skeletal muscle of all muscle at the level of the fourth lumbar vertebral and three study reported three studies reported psoas muscle area at the level of fourth lumbar vertebral, respectively (Table 1).

**Table 1:** Evaluation of studies on sarcopenia in liver transplantation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Participants [n]</th>
<th>Method for Definition of Sarcopenia</th>
<th>Muscle Mass Measurement Area</th>
<th>Impact of sarcopenia on clinical outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Krell et al. [36]</td>
<td>Retrospective analysis of The University of Michigan Health System [UMHS] between June 2002 and August 2008</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>Pre-transplant Single slice CT analysis</td>
<td>both psoas muscles at the level of the fourth lumbar vertebra</td>
<td>increased risk for post transplants infection complication and mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al. [37]</td>
<td>retrospective analysis of the patients who underwent liver transplantation at the University of Michigan Transplant Center between 2000 and 2011</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>Pre-transplant Single slice CT analysis</td>
<td>the dorsal muscle group at the twelve thoracic vertebral level and both psoas muscles at the level of the fourth lumbar vertebra</td>
<td>increased risk for post transplant one-year mortality, five year mortality and one-year complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamaguchi et al. [38]</td>
<td>retrospective analysis of the patients who underwent LDLT at Kyoto University Hospital between January 2008 and October 2013</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Pre-transplant Single slice CT analysis</td>
<td>The cross-sectional areas of the right and left psoas muscles at the umbilical level</td>
<td>increased risk factors for mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergerson et al. [39]</td>
<td>retrospective analysis of the patients who underwent LDLT at the University of Pittsburgh between 2000 to 2012</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>pre-and post-transplant Single slice CT analysis</td>
<td>skeletal muscle area at the midpoint of the third lumbar vertebra</td>
<td>after liver transplantation sarcopenia does not progress but is arrested and significantly improves in the absence of confounding conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Englesbe et al. [40]</td>
<td>retrospective analysis of the patients who underwent LDLT at the Michigan Medical Center between June 2002 and July 2008</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>pre-transplant Single slice CT analysis</td>
<td>Cross-sectional areas of the left and right psoas muscles at the level of the fourth lumbar Vertebra</td>
<td>increased risk factors for mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsien et al. [41]</td>
<td>retrospective analysis of the patients who underwent LDLT at the Cleveland Clinic from July 2009 to July 2011</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Pre- and post-transplant Single slice CT analysis</td>
<td>cross-sectional areas of the skeletal muscle area at the level of the fourth lumbar Vertebra</td>
<td>non significant, increased mortality in patients with continued reduction in muscle area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thosima et al. [42]</td>
<td>retrospective analysis of the patients who underwent LDLT at the Kyushu University Hospital between November 2003 and December 2011</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Pre- transplant Single slice CT analysis</td>
<td>the psoas muscle at the caudal end of the third lumbar vertebra</td>
<td>plasma glutamine levels after LDLT were significantly decreased compared with before LDLT in patients both with and without postoperative early nutrition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based attenuation characteristics of different tissues which are related with density and chemical composition. CT images are comprised of 3-dimensional arrays of voxels, which is assigned an x-ray attenuation value, called Hounsfield units (HU). HU are represented on the Hounsfield scale is a quantitative scale for describing radio density and used in the application of computed axial tomography.

It reflects the linear transformation of the attenuation coefficient measurement in which the radio density of distilled water at standard pressure and temperature (STP) is defined as zero Hounsfield units (HU) and the radio density of air STP is defined as -1000 HU. HU values range from -29 to +150 for skeletal muscle and -190 to -30 for adipose tissue [46,47]. However, the chosen fixed range of attenuation values (-190 to -30 Hounsfield units) was relatively wide, such that other structures may have been included within the ‘fat’ range [48]. Additionally, there is considerable variability in fat attenuation between individual scanners [49]. Which may have been problematic as imaging from multiple hospitals was evaluated. Accuracy of the single-slice CT image analysis was previously assessed by Sheen et al. [50]. Who compared this with the gold standard technique of magnetic resonance imaging.

However, they used the fourth to fifth lumbar region for this analysis and did not report results on specific anatomic landmarks such as the second to third lumbar or third to fourth lumbar regions [51,52]. Moreover, the study by Sheen et al. consisted only of healthy adults, so it was difficult to extrapolate these results for measurements at various levels in patients undergoing LT. In study by Gusto et al. [53], the quantitative analysis muscle mass area in single slice CT analysis at the axial plane passing through the intersomatic disk between third and fourth lumbar vertebra was compared with appendicle skeletal muscle index, calculated using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. In this study, skeletal muscle mass evaluation through CT was only weakly correlated with DXA which is non-gold standard measurement technique for muscle mass measurement and CT measurement of muscle mass were not correlated with hand grip strength which is one of the validate modality for determining muscle function.

The theory body composition analysis of BIA relies on $V = \frac{p \times I^2}{R}$ equation where in “V” is volume, “p” is resistivity, “I” is segment length, “R” is the segment resistance, and weight, age and sex are incorporated into the estimation by multiple regression [54]. In this content, results of the BIA are linked with the capacity of hydrated tissues to conduct electrical energy which could strongly infused by total body water change. However, ascites and edema which are the cardinal symptoms of cirrhosis or severe liver disease might strongly effect BIA measurements [55]. In this content, further studies are warranted before general use of BIA in patients undergoing LDLT.

**Link between Osteoporosis and Sarcopenia**

The term “sarco-osteopenia” or “sarcoma-osteoporosis” was first introduced by Binkley and Behring [56]. Individuals presenting with sarco-osteopenia or sarcoosteoporosis would be at higher risk for falls and fractures and, hence, increased morbidity, reduced quality of life, and increased mortality [57]. Although the term has only recently been proposed, the association of muscle and bone mass has been extensively studied [58-62]. Recent studies have found that muscle wasting, established by morphometric analysis, was associated with a preferential type II muscle fiber atrophy, which correlates with bone mineral density and reduced levels...
of Akt, a major regulator of muscle mass [63]. In concordance to this, Khan et al. showed that lean mass is strongly related to bone mineral status in young adults after LT; this correlation between total body bone mineral density and muscle mass was particularly higher in females (r = 0.76, P = .001) than in males (r = 0.34, P = .09) [64]. However, further studies are warranted to define mechanism between osteoporosis and sarcopenia in LT.

### Counter measures and future directions

Low bone mineral density (BMD) usually measured using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) and prior fracture with a BMD measurement 2.5 standard deviations below that of healthy young adults defines the presence of osteoporosis [65,66]. DXA is also one of the valid muscle mass measurement technique advised by consensus statements. Further studies in LTDT should focus on muscle mass measurement via DXA for muscle mass measurement and also evaluation of muscle function. This approach gives the possibility to concomitant determination of BMD which will give the advantage of determination of osteo-sarcopenia.

### References


