PD-1/PD-L1 Checkpoint in Breast Implant-Associated Anaplastic Large Cell Lymphoma: A Case Report
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Introduction

Breast implant-associated anaplastic large cell lymphoma (BI-ALCL) is a rare form of malignancy that arises around mammary implants in patients undergoing prosthetic surgery. It has been included as a provisional entity in the revised WHO classification of lymphoid malignancy. The PD-1/PD-L1 axis is one of the major mechanisms of immune escaping exerted by several cancer types in which up-regulation of PD-L1 is observed. We report the presence of PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint in a case of BI-ALCL of a 67 year old woman, whom previously had undergone mastectomy and reconstruction for breast carcinoma.
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Introduction

Primary breast lymphomas are rare and account for approximately 0.04 to 0.5% of all breast cancers, with an estimated incidence of 1 case per 500,000 to 3 million women with implants [1]. The majority of these non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas that affect the breast derive from B-lymphocytes and less than 10% are of T-cell origin [2]. In recent years, a distinct subset of T-cell lymphomas, so-called breast implant-associated anaplastic large cell lymphoma (BI-ALCL) has been described in women with breast implants. It was first described in 1997 and included in 2017 as a new provisional entity in the revised WHO classification of lymphoid malignancy within the group of the anaplastic large cell lymphoma (ALCL) [3]. ALCL is a specific subtype of peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL) in which the different sub-entities, though sharing the presence of CD30-positive large atypical lymphocytes, substantially differ for clinical presentation (i.e. systemic, primary cutaneous, associated with breast implants) and behavior [4]. Among systemic ALCLs (sALCL), those harboring translocations of anaplastic lymphoma kinase gene (ALK) (ALK+ ALCL) have shown a more favourable clinical course as compared to those lacking ALK gene lesions (ALK-ALCL) [5].

BI-ALCL has an ALK-negative phenotype and, similarly to primary cutaneous ALCL (cALCL) [6], cases confined to the peri-implant breast seroma fluid without invasion of the fibrous capsule have shown an excellent prognosis [7]. The PD-1/PD-L1 axis is one of the major mechanisms of immune escaping exerted by several cancer types in which up-regulation of PD-L1 is observed. Programmed death-1 (PD-1) receptors are expressed on activated T cells, and function to limit T-cell-mediated immune responses. PD-1 ligands, PD-L1 (B7-H1 or CD274) and PD-L2 (B7H3 or CD273), are physiologically expressed respectively by a subset of cells of the hematopoietic lineage (B cells, T cells and macrophages) and by antigen-presenting cells and epithelial tissues. They can be up-regulated in certain solid tumors and lymphomas. PD-L1 upon binding to PD-1 receptors, leads to the reduction of T-cell receptor (TCR) signaling, which is essential in maintaining immune tolerance and balanced responses to eliminate pathogens and tumor cells.

There are Two Mechanisms of Expression of Immune Checkpoint Ligands on Tumors Cells

a) Constitutive expression of PD-L1 by tumors cells because of genetic alterations to the PD-L1 and PD-L2 locus of chromosome 9p24.1 (gains, amplifications or fusions);

b) Activation of signaling pathways, independent of inflammatory signals (intrinsic immune resistance) or through an induced response to inflammatory signals produced by an active anti-tumor immune response (adaptive immune resistance).

The disruption of PD-1/PD-L1 interaction using antibodies directed against PD-1 (Nivolumab and Pembrolizumab) or PD-L1 (Atezolizumab) restores the T cell capability to exert cytolytic functions directed to neoplastic cells [8].

Furthermore, the assessment of PD-L1 expression through immunohistochemical staining is considered as the best biomarker that allows better selection of patients who are likely to respond to targeted immunotherapy, to improve treatment efficacy and to manage cost of therapies [9]. Although classical Hodgkin’s lymphoma (cHL) is considered the prototype of lymphoid malignancies susceptible to blockade of PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint [10] the success of checkpoint blockade therapy in the treatment of different solid tumors has encouraged the research for similar results in the wide spectrum of lymphoproliferative diseases [9,11-13]. Our work provides the first evidence of the expression of PD-L1 in BI-ALCL among the broad variety of lymphoid malignancies.

Case Presentation

The patient was a 67-year-old woman who noticed in 2010 a lump in the upper outer quadrant of her right breast. Clinical examination revealed a thick, movable 3×3 cm nodule. Sonographic examination revealed a rounded hypoechoic lump 3 cm in diameter with irregular contour with increased perfusion; in the upper quadrants of the same breast it showed others two lesions with the same characteristics, 13.6 mm and 8 mm in diameter respectively, all suggesting a multicentric cancer. Mammograms confirm the presence of three lesions associated to microcalcifications. The subsequent cytology reported the diagnosis of carcinoma. The patient underwent mastectomy and axillary dissection plus reconstruction by tissue expander. Histopathology reported multiple high grade ductal infiltrating carcinoma associated to high grade ductal carcinoma in situ and microscopic aspects of lymphovascular invasion; on immunohistochemistry tumor cells expressed estrogen and progesterone receptors, Ki67 15%, HER2 negative (Luminal A-like subtype). All 16 lymph nodes removed were negative. She received ormonotherapy with an Aromatase inhibitor until February 2015.

In 2011 the patient underwent the second stage reconstruction with silicone implant placement and contra lateral symmetrisation. The follow up was negative until February 2017 when two residual auxiliary lymph node were revealed by ultrasound examination associated with the presence of peri-prosthetic effusion, found in a control CT scan. Whole body positron emission tomography-computed tomography (PET/CT) scan with Fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) radiotracer revealed increased FDG uptake only in the axillary region with a maximum SUV of 6.4 and in the external quadrants of the right breast.

For this reason, the patient underwent first diagnostic dissection of palpable lymph nodes and then to lymphadenectomy and capsulectomy (Figure 1) with extraction of silicone implant and drainage of peri-prosthetic fluid. Histopathologically, clusters of lymphoma cells were present in the peri-prosthetic fluid and in the fibrous capsule surrounding the implant, but not beyond it. The lymph node was characterized by intrasinusal infiltrate of highly pleomorphic cells with horseshoe-shaped and simill-Reed-Sternberg nuclei, with prominent nucleoli. The tumour cells were immunohistochemically positive for CD30, CD15, CD4, CD5, Perforin, Granzyme, MUM1/IRF4 and demonstrated negative immunostaining for ALK, LMP1, pan-B-cell and epithelial markers. Others T-cell markers were down regulated.

Figure 1: The excised BI-ALCL capsular tissue.

Figure 2: The neoplastic cells exhibiting strong membranous staining immunoreactivity for PD-L1 (22C3 DAKO). (200 X).

Almost all tumor cells (± 90%) showed expression for PD-L1 [22C3 DAKO, Agilent Technologies] with specific, strong, and fully membranous staining pattern (Figure 2) [11,13]. PD-L1 expression was also evaluated in non-neoplastic cells. A weak membranous partial stain was observed in ± 20% of adjacent nodal histiocytes [14]. Immunohistochemistry was performed with the automated device Dako Omnis (Agilent Technologies’).
to ensure repeatability and reproducibility. Appropriate positive and negative controls were routinely included for intra-laboratory optimization of the tests. The staging at the time of diagnosis, according to Clemens et al., was T3, N1, M0 [7]. Bone marrow biopsy showed no evidence of lymphoma involvement. As patients with positive regional lymph node involvement at diagnosis have a higher rate of recurrence, CHOP systemic treatment has been the therapeutic option chosen after capsulectomy. The patient, still under treatment when publishing, will continue close surveillance following completion of chemotherapy.

Discussion

BI-ALCL is considered a disease of the fibrous capsule surrounding the implant and not of the breast parenchyma. Silicone might be immunogenic stimulating a chronic inflammation and, such as Helicobacter pylori infection in gastric extra nodal marginal zone lymphoma, could be associated with development of lymphomas. Moreover, ALCL originates from activated mature cytotoxic T cells [15]. The overexpression of PD-L1 has never been described before in BI-ALCL between the wide spectrums of lymphoproliferative diseases. It could be related to the deregulation of genes involved in the inflammatory reaction, well known in BI-ALCL, supporting an immune pathogenetic mechanism of lymphomagenesis [16].

Besides these intriguing aspects, since targeted therapy has recently shown encouraging results, as, for example, Brentuximab-Vedotin (BV) for cases overexpressing CD30 antigen, also PD-L1 assessment by immunohistochemistry could be proposed as a predictive assay for selecting patients who do not have responded to conventional chemotherapy, potentially treatable with checkpoint blockade therapies [17]. Due to this essential role as biomarker, from a technical point of view, all pre-analytical (tissue fixation, handling, processing and treatment of histological sections) and analytical issues (immunohistochemical visualization system, commercial staining platform, chromogen, primary antibody, pre-treatment) must be carefully considered in the pathology laboratory when immunohistochemical tests are performed on FFPE (fixed formalin paraffin embedded) tissue. Moreover, morphologic evaluation is fundamental to correctly apply a scoring algorithm in an exhaustive pathologic report.

Conclusion

In conclusion, however further studies would be necessary for the validation of reporting criteria, pathologists and haematologists should continue to collaborate for the optimization of the PD-L1 immunohistochemical assays for the patients affected by different subtypes of lymphomas and considered for immunotherapy.
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