Introduction

Distal radius fractures are one of the most common types of fractures, it accounts up to 25% of fractures in children [1] and up to 18% of fractures in the over 65 age group [2, 3, 4]. The treatment depend on several factors of stability: the radial length, the metaphysical comminution and the age of patients. But, whatever is the type of treatment (conservative or surgical), it relies on standard values of palmar tilt, radial inclination and ulnar variance to evaluate the good correction of fracture. While palmar tilt and radial inclination have well defined values, the UV miss a specific value to lay on for correction. Three aspects of UV are known: neutral, positive and negative, with different incidences in normal population where the neutral aspect is the most frequent and therefore it is considered as the standard aspect of assessment during surgery. This UV is also considered as the most consistent predictor of radiographic outcome between the other parameters of reduction (palmar tilt and radial inclination) [5-9], therefore the relevance of procedures of reduction, except the internal fixation, remained uncertain due to the imprecision to restore the initial
aspect of UV by considering the neutral aspect as standard of assessment without taking into consideration the variation in the morphology of wrist that exists between individuals.

We have assessed the reduction of distal radius fractures in a prospective series of patients treated with trans-focal pinning of the Kapandji technique [10-12] for comminuted extra articular (type 1 and 2) or extra-articular with simple intra-articular fractures (type 4) using UV of the contra lateral side as reference to restore the radial length. The aim of our study was to demonstrate that the standard value of the radial length after reduction is obviously submitted to individual pattern and should be provided by the ulnar variance of the contra lateral wrist. The trans-focal pinning of the Kapandji technique is known to have lesser effect of lengthening of the radius than other methods, without evidence for this statement. This notification could be sustained when we consider wrongly the neutral UV type as the standard aspect for all individuals. However, in this study, we assess the length of the radius performing the mentioned technique, according to the UV at the opposite wrist. The result changes if we consider the neutral UV for all patients or the individual pattern verified at the opposite normal side.

Materials and Methods

Prospective study on all patients presented between 2013 and 2016 with extra-articular (Kapandji type 1 and 2) and simple intra-articular fractures (Kapandji type 4) of wrist. All patients presented to our institution with wrist trauma underwent an X-ray (AP and LAT) of the painful wrist. The patients that their X-rays showed an extra-articular (Kapandji type 1 and 2) and simple intra-articular fractures (Kapandji type 4) of wrist and candidates for treatment with Kapandji technique were included in the study, and an X-ray (AP and LAT) of the contra lateral wrist was performed after permission of the patients. Open fractures, fracture of distal radius associated with distal ulnar fracture were excluded, as well as patients whose we didn’t obtain an X-ray of opposite non-fractured wrist for different reasons. In each case, two orthopedic surgeons evaluated the UV in the X-ray of contra lateral non-fractured wrist and considered as reference for the restoration of radial length in the fractured side. The UV is considered neutral, when the carpo-ulnar and carpo-radial surfaces are at the same level, positive when carpo-ulna is projected more distally and negative when carpo-ulna is more proximally (Figures 1-3).

All patients were treated with the same surgical technique, under general or loco regional anesthesia, in the supine position with the upper limb on a side table, and the use of a tourniquet. After gentle closed reduction the surgeon faithfully followed the surgical technique described by Kapandji [10,13-15], using 3 unthreaded pins 18/10 to 22/10 mm diameter. Fluoroscopic C-Arm control was used to assess reduction and appropriate position of pins at the end of fixation, and the pins were cut back around 1 cm from the dorsal cortex; they were finally buried between the superficial layer of the dorsal extensor retinaculum and the deep layer of the skin at the time of closure. An above elbow cast was applied for articular fracture and short cast for non-articular fracture. At six weeks (eight weeks for osteoporotic), after a radiographic control, the cast and the 3 intra-focal pins (one lateral and 2 dorsally) were removed and rehabilitation of the wrist was started. A regular follow-up each 2 months was done and at 6 months’ post-op an X-ray of control for the treated wrist was done with evaluation of the aspect of UV by two orthopedic surgeons.

Results

95 patients were treated with the Kapandji technique with at least 6 months postoperative follow-up. 61 females and 34 males between 17 and 85 year olds, mea age 59 years. With 84 cases of extra articularand 11 cases of simple intra-articular fractures
of the wrist. The UV of the contra lateral side of the 95 cases was neutral in 65 cases, positive in 18 cases and negative in 12 cases. After reduction of the 95 fractures, the UV of the whole group was distributed as followed: Neutral (63 cases), Positive (19 cases) and Negative (13 cases) (Table 1). For the 65 cases of neutral UV in the contra lateral side, 58 cases of treated side restored neutral aspect after reduction (89%), 4 became positive (6%) and 3 became negative with over lengthening of the radius (5%). For the 18 cases of positive UV in the contra lateral side, 15 cases restored the positive UV in the treated side (83%), 3 became neutral with over lengthening of the radius (17%). For the 12 cases of negative UV in the contra lateral side, 10 restore a negative variance (83%) and 2 became neutral variance (17%) (Table 2). In 83 of 95 cases (87.37%), the operated side had the same UV aspect as the contra lateral side, while 6 cases were over lengthened (3 cases in the group of neutral initial variance and 3 cases in the group of positive initial variance). In 6 cases the UV hasn’t been restored (4 cases of initial neutral and 2 cases of initial negative), because osteoporotic fractures (4 cases), and complex non-indicated articular fracture (2 cases). When the neutral UV is considered as the standard reference for reduction of the radial length in all cases, only 63 of 95 (66.31%) obtain good reduction. In all the series, no cases of serious infection were observed.

Table 1: Postoperative distribution of ulnar variance aspect in operated side as well as in the non-operated side.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ulnar variance</th>
<th>Neutral: n=65 cases</th>
<th>Positive: n=18 cases</th>
<th>Negative: n=12 cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The fractured wrist</td>
<td>63 cases</td>
<td>19 cases</td>
<td>13 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The non-fractured side</td>
<td>65 cases</td>
<td>18 cases</td>
<td>12 cases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Results of ulnar variance aspect in the operated side after reduction according to different aspect of ulnar variance in the non-operated side.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ulnar variance After reduction</th>
<th>Neutral: n=65 cases</th>
<th>Positive: n=18 cases</th>
<th>Negative: n=12 cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>58 cases</td>
<td>3 cases</td>
<td>2 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>4 cases</td>
<td>15 cases</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>3 cases</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10 cases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The most important finding of the present study is that by considering the UV of contra lateral side as reference for correction, we obtained 87.3% of cases demonstrated a normalization of the radial length, compared to 66.3% of cases if the neutral UV was considered as reference for correction. Therefore, it is important to take into consideration the variation in the morphology of wrist that exists between individuals, during treatment of wrist fracture. We confirmed our hypothesis: that in absence of standard value for the correction of the radial length, the contra-lateral aspect of the UV could be considered the reference in the treatment of the wrist fracture. This method allows the normalization of the UV according to individual morphology for stabilization of the fractured wrist. It promotes the treatment with pinning which is known to under lengthen the radial fracture. Standard morphologic criteria are required in the treatment of wrist fractures in aim to achieve good outcome. Although, authors overwhelmed their studies with the clinical and radiological assessments and reported results ranging between 92% [16], 93.75% [17] and 90.7% [18], these rates considered the healing of the fracture and the functional aspect of the wrist. It is obvious that internal fixation with plates provides the restoration for all parameters, whereas pinning has the inconvenience of not restoring always the length of radius, responsible of medial deviation of the wrist.

The two parameters, palmar tilt and radial inclination, are determined with a range of standard angles, and accept a range of correction without functional disturbance. However, the UV which is considered the main factor of stability of wrist fracture [9,11,12,19-21] is depending of the carpo-ulnar and the radioulnar articulations, and a minimal insufficient correction promotes a significant clinical deformity. Therefore, Wolfe S. [22] considered that a shortening more than 3 mm of the ulnar variance will lead to symptoms. Aro HT and Koivunen T [9] have ranged the importance that a shortening more than 3 mm of the variance will lead to significant recurrence of dorsal angulations, but no significant radial shortening on radiographs.

The result of restoration of the radial length is not well demonstrated in many of the reports studying the wrist’s fractures correction; we expect the reason by lack of standard value. However, Dawdy PA et al. [23] have reported a small series of 17 patients with reference to the non-injured wrist, the UV average for cases was 0 mm at follow up (average 42 weeks) vs 1 mm average at opposite side, but the authors didn’t refer the result to different types of UV. In our study based on the restoration of the initial UV, the normalization in negative (83%) and positive type (83%) was lesser than the neutral type (89%). Considering the whole series, 83 out of 95 (87.3%) cases demonstrated normalization of the radius length. However, if we consider the neutral UV, which represents 68.4% of our patients, as the standard pattern for our study, the rate of correction falls to 63 out of 95 (66.3%) cases. Although
the pinning technique is known to under-correct the length of the radius, in 6 cases the radius was lengthened more than the original (3 initial neutrals to negative and 3 initial positive to neutral). The effect of lengthening using the intra-focal pinning in whole patients has consequently a more consideration and reached 89 out of 95 (94%) cases. In the remaining 6 cases where the technique failed to restore the initial length of radius, we recognize the presence of complex articular fracture and other osteoporotic fractures. In severe osteoporotic, other procedures should be adapted to the weakness of the bone, shortening of the ulna could be an alternative method [26].
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