The Role of Feedback in Breathing Self-Regulation in Health and Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome Development
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Abstract
Hypothesis on efficiency of feedback from medullary chemoreceptors (MCh) which react to carbon dioxide excess in the organism was tested in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS). Attenuation of feedback from MCh to respiratory center was established in 62.5% of patients with OSAS and 43.8% of healthy volunteers after “rebreathing” test. Obtained data correspond to significant variability of MCh sensitivity to CO₂ content in brain stem in health and disease which is accompanied with increase of CO₂ content in the organism. It was concluded that revealed decreased sensitivity of MCh to hypercapnic stimulus in patients with OSAS is one of diagnostic criteria which will allow substantiating reasonability of CPAP (Continuous Positive Airway Pressure) and BiPAP (Biphasic Positive Airway Pressure)-therapy use in patients with OSAS to prevent fatal breathing stop during sleep.
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Introduction
Sudden respiratory arrest during sleep remains socially important problem as it commonly leads to fatal outcome [1,2]. It is quite hard to treat patients with breathing arrest of central genesis. There are a lot of examples and fatal breathing arrest in Ondine’s curse (central hypoventilation syndrome) is the one [3]. It is considered that fatal violations of breathing control are determined by peripheral mechanisms in patients with OSAS - in particular, by obstructive events in airways [2,4]. However, doctors noticed that such point of view is not confirmed by the facts of similar frequency of lethal outcomes during sleep in patients with different degrees of OSAS [5]. Therefore, contribution of central mechanisms of breathing regulation to development of fatal outcomes during sleep should be also taken into account - besides peripheral ones [6-9]. Current study was aimed namely at this point.

Rebreathing Test
40 patients of both sexes aged 22-70 years were examined. 24 patients had obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (13 women and 11 men). 16 healthy volunteers (12 women and 4 men) had no symptoms of OSAS (snoring complaints, airways obstruction, disturbed sleep). Each subject passed “rebreathing” test aimed at determination of central (medullary) brain chemoreceptors sensitivity to carbon dioxide. The device used for test consists of Spirometabolimeter “Spirolan-M” (Saint Petersburg, Russia), modified Douglas bag (12 liters), disposable respiratory circuit and respiratory filters, silicone mask with holding straps, source of 100% oxygen and personal computer with installed Analyzer-final program (KDI-2018).
The test was performed in sitting position with arm support. Full-face mask was fixed with holding straps at subject’s face. Respiratory circuit was filled with 100% oxygen. Subject was instructed to perform both inspiration and expiration into closed circuit for 5 minutes or until discomfort appearance. Device automatically registered next parameters of respiratory cycle: test duration (T(sec), inspired volume (Vins, l), expired volume (Vexp, l), breathing frequency per 1 minute (f), end-inspiratory CO₂ content (FiCO₂ %), end-expiratory CO₂ content (EtCO₂ %). Subject was asked to remain in sitting position for 10 minutes after the test to restore gas homeostasis.

\[ Q = e^{-\sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{n}(\ln[C(co_{i})] - [\text{Appr}([\ln[C(co_{i})])]^2)^2/n)} \]

n - number of respiratory cycles; i - index number of respiratory cycles; e - Euler’s number (2.71828); C - CO₂ content, %; [Appr([lnC(co_{i})])] - natural logarithm of expected CO₂ saturation at i\textsuperscript{th} moment of time. Regression analysis (least-squares method) was used for statistical data processing.

**The Role of Central Mechanisms in Fatal Apnea Development in Patients with OSAS**

15 patients with OSAS (62.5 %) had \( Q = 0.16\pm0.1 \), other 9 had \( Q = 0.05\pm0.02 \). Surprisingly, 7 healthy volunteers (43.8%) had even higher than patients with OSAS - 0.19\pm0.14. The rest 9 had \( Q = 0.06\pm0.01 \). By the way, 6 patients with OSAS (25%) had low \( Q \) values 0.05\pm0.02) which in fact corresponded to \( Q \) values in patients with OSAS. Significant differences have been revealed when comparing \( Q \) values in all patients with OSAS with the ones in healthy volunteers (p<0.05).

What does revealed significance of \( Q \) between patients with OSAS and healthy volunteers mean? Increased \( Q \) values reflect low sensitivity of central chemoreceptors to carbon dioxide, therefore lowering of respiratory system reactivity to hypercapnic stimulus was established in patients with OSAS compared to healthy volunteers. This is substantiated by the fact of vascular chemoreceptors blockade caused by oxygen excess in inspired air during "rebreathing" [10-14]. Accumulation of carbon dioxide in closed circuit with each expiration is accompanied with activation only those receptors which react on hypercapnic stimulus. In this case different sensitivity \( Q \) in healthy volunteers and patients with OSAS reflects the fact of initial difference in chemoreceptor sensitivity between mentioned groups during sleep. It is important that reduction of sensitivity to hypercapnic stimulus in apnea development in such patients will be accompanied with gradually increasing hypercapnic and hypoxic stimuli during nocturnal and daytime sleep. In fact, patient’s fate will be sealed in a very short period, because only sensitivity to hypoxic stimulus is preserved during apnea development, but sensitivity to hypercapnic one is reduced to certain extent. It is recommended to use "rebreathing" technique in clinical practice to reveal functional state of Mch in patients with OSAS and avoid fatality of this Russian roulette-like situation [12,13]. Certified devices for lung ventilation during sleep (CPAP- or BiPAP-therapy) should be used when decreased sensitivity to CO₂ is revealed in patients with OSAS.

**Conclusion**

Detection of decreased sensitivity of Mch to hypercapnic stimulus in patients with OSAS by "rebreathing" test is one of diagnostic methods which will allow substantiating reasonability of CPAP (Continuous Positive Airway Pressure)- and BiPAP (Biphasic Positive Airway Pressure)-therapy use in patients with OSAS to prevent fatal breathing stop during sleep.
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